

**Spectral characterization and antimicrobial activity studies of
5,6-dichloro-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl-(4'/5'/6'-substituted)-phenols
(HL₁–HL₂₀) and Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II) and Pd(II)
complexes of HL₁**

AYDIN TAVMAN^{1*}, DEMET GURBUZ², MAYRAM HACIOGLU³, ADEM CINARLI²,
ONUR SAHIN⁴ and A. SEHER BIRTEKSOZ TAN³

¹Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Inorganic
Chemistry Division, 34320 Avcılar, Istanbul, Türkiye, ²Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Faculty
of Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry Division, 34320 Avcılar, Istanbul,
Türkiye, ³Istanbul University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical
Microbiology, 34452 Beyazıt, Istanbul, Türkiye and ⁴Sinop University, Faculty of Health
Sciences, Department of Occupational Health and Safety, 57000 Sinop, Türkiye

(Received 6 August, revised 12 October, accepted 29 October 2025)

Abstract: 5,6-Dichloro-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl-(4'/5'/6'-substituted)-phenols
(HL₁–HL₂₀) and MCl₂ complexes (M: Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pd) of HL₁ were syn-
thesized and characterized by various physicochemical and spectroscopic
methods such as elemental analysis, thermogravimetric analysis, FTIR, NMR
and fluorescence spectroscopy. The structures of the complexes were also con-
firmed by performing molar conductivity and magnetic moment measurements.
HL₁ acted as a bidentate, monobasic chelating ligand with NO donor sites in all
the complexes. It was found that all complexes have non-electrolytic properties
and the M:L ratios are 1:1 in the Zn(II) complex and 1:2 in the other complexes.
Crystal structure of HL₁₈ was also investigated. The presence of both intra- and
inter-molecular hydrogen bonding was observed in both molecules. According
to the fluorescence spectral data, the substituents at the 4-position made the
fluorescence emission shifted to the lower wavelengths (redshift) compared to
HL₁, while the substituents at the 3- and 5-positions caused a blue shift effect.
The Zn(II) complex showed a greater redshift effect compared to the other com-
plexes. In addition, antimicrobial activity of the compounds was evaluated
against six bacteria and three fungi. It was observed that HL₁ and its mono sub-
stituted derivatives (HL₁–HL₁₁) show selective activity especially against
Gram-positive bacteria, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.
Zn(II) complex showed relatively higher activity against Gram-positive bacteria
differently from the other complexes.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: atavman@iuc.edu.tr
<https://doi.org/10.2298/JSC250806078T>

Keywords: benzimidazolylphenol; halogen; transition metal complexes; antibacterial; antifungal.

INTRODUCTION

It is known that many benzimidazole derivatives play a role in the field of pharmacology as the active ingredient of many drugs. For example, 4-{5-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]-1-methyl-2-benzimidazolyl}butyric acid hydrochloride, also known as bendamustine and used as a chemotherapy agent, is one of them.^{1,2} Perhaps the well-known one is omeprazole, 5-methoxy-2-(4-methoxy-3,5-dimethylpyridin-2-yl-methylsulphonyl)-1*H*-benzimidazole, an antisecretory agent.³ Other important benzimidazole derivatives used as drugs include thiabendazole,^{4,5} albendazole, mebendazole, flubendazole,^{6,7} astemizole⁸ and fenbendazole.⁹


Many researchers in different parts of the world continue their research on benzimidazole derivatives because they have a wide range of biological activities, especially antimicrobial, antiviral, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, proton pump and pancreatic lipase inhibitor, hormone modulator, antihypertensive, antidiabetic, antidepressant, anticoagulant, *etc.*^{10–14} Also, it is known that there is a 5,6-dimethylbenzimidazole moiety that coordinated to a Co(II) ion through the imidazole C=N nitrogen atom in vitamin B12.¹⁵

Benzimidazole derivatives containing phenol groups, benzimidazolylphenols, are one of the current and widespread research topics, and their results have application potential in many areas. One of the most important features of these compounds is that they form strong chelate complexes with a two-ring structure by coordinating to metals through phenolic OH oxygen and C=N nitrogen atoms. In addition, many studies have been published examining the photophysical properties of these compounds and their complexes with strong fluorescent characteristics.^{16,17}

We reported that many benzimidazolyl-phenol derivatives and some of their transition metal complexes exhibited antibacterial and antifungal effect in our previous studies.¹⁸ For example, 2-(5-nitro-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl)-bromophenol and its Zn(II), Fe(III) and Cu(II) complexes showed considerable antibacterial activity on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.^{19,20} It is observed that the Cl, Br and NO₂ groups in some 5-methoxy-2-(5-substituted-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl)-phenols increase the antimicrobial activity toward *S. aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Candida albicans*.²¹

In this study, twenty benzimidazolylphenol derivatives, eighteen of them are new, 5,6-dichloro-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl-(4'/5'/6'-substituted)-phenols (**HL**₁–**HL**₂₀, Scheme 1) were synthesized and characterized. The compounds except for **HL**₁ and **HL**₄ are reported for the first time in this study. The compound 2-(5,6-dichloro-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (**HL**₁) and its Mn(III), Fe(II), Co(II) and Ni(II) complexes were reported in the literature.^{22–24} Additionally, the anticancer

effect of a group of compounds, including **HL₁**, was examined, and it was reported that **HL₁** showed very weak anticancer activity against A549, MDA-MB-231 and PC3 cell lines (IC_{50} value $>100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ for all three cell types).²⁵ The anticancer activity of **HL₄** was also investigated, but no significant effect was observed.²⁶ We also prepared and characterized the MCl_2 complexes (M: Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pd) of **HL₁**. In addition, antimicrobial activities of the compounds were tested towards six bacteria and three fungi. The structural characteristics and antimicrobial activity of the compounds were investigated and compared.



84 Scheme 1. Synthesis scheme and chemical structures of 5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl-
85 -(4'/5'/6'-substituted)-phenols in the study.

86 EXPERIMENTAL

87 Chemistry and apparatus

88 All chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and they were used without further
89 purification. Information on the chemicals and equipment used is provided as supporting
90 information.

91 Synthesis of 5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl-(4'/5'/6'-substituted)-phenols (**HL₁**–**HL₂₀**)

92 A modified method developed by us, by utilizing two different methods available in the
93 literature, was applied in the synthesis of benzimidazolyphenol derivatives.^{27,28} An appropriate
94 aldehyde (0.003 mol) and 4,5-dichlorobenzene-1,2-diamine (0.003 mol, 0.531 g) and 0.150 g
95 H_3BO_3 as catalyst were dissolved in 20 mL DMF, and refluxed for 3 h. The reaction mixture
96 was left to cool at room temperature and then poured into 250 mL of water, after which a pre-
97 cipitate formed. It was filtered, then dried and crystallized from ethanol. The compounds were
98 obtained in yields ranging from 65 to 94 %. Physicochemical and spectroscopic data for **HL₁**–
99 **HL₂₀** are given in the supplementary file.

100 Synthesis of the complex compounds

101 Appropriate metal salt solutions {0.0075 mol of $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$
102 in 10 mL ethanol, K_2PdCl_4 (obtained by dissolving 1 mmol PdCl_2 (0.177 g) and 2 mmol KCl

(0.15 g) in 10 mL MeOH+H₂O mixture (6:4 volume ratio)) and ZnCl₂·6H₂O in 10 mL of ethylacetate was added to a solution of the **HL**₁ (0.210 g, 0.0075 mol) in appropriate solvent (15 mL), and refluxed for 3 h. The resulting precipitates were filtered off after cooling the reaction mixture, washed with a very small amount of methanol and water and kept at room temperature to dry.

Spectral and analytical data of the complexes are given in Supplementary material to this paper.

Determination of antimicrobial activity

Antibacterial activity of samples was studied *in vitro* with microbroth dilution technique against *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 (meticillin susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*, MSSA), *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 4352, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC 12228. Antifungal activity was assayed *in vitro* against *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231, *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019 and *Candida tropicalis* ATCC 750. The evaluation of antibacterial and antifungal activity was done using micro broth dilution technique according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidance^{29,30} as detailed in previous studies.²¹

The samples were evaluated for their antibacterial and antifungal potency against members of Gram-negative bacteria, Gram-positive bacteria, and *Candida* spp. As reference compounds, ciprofloxacin for antibacterial assays, and Amphotericin B for antifungal assays were preferred.

X-Ray diffraction analysis

Suitable crystals of **HL**₁₈ were selected for data collection which was performed on a D8-QUEST diffractometer equipped with a graphite-monochromatic MoK_α radiation at 296 K. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS-2013³¹ and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on *F*² using SHELXL-2013.³² All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic parameters. The following procedures were implemented in our analysis. Data collection: Bruker APEX2;³³ program used for molecular graphics were as follows: Mercury programs;³⁴ software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX.³⁵

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crystal structure of **HL**₁₈

Crystal data and structure refinement parameters related **HL**₁₈ are given in Table I. Some important data on bond distances and bond angles are shown in Table S-I of the Supplementary material, and hydrogen bond parameters are shown in Table II. Molecular structure of **HL**₁₈ is shown in Fig. 1, and the molecular planes and intermolecular hydrogen bonds in Fig. S-1 of the Supplementary material.


HL₁₈ crystallized in the monoclinic system. The C–O bond length of 1.353 Å, indicate that the bond has a typical phenolic C–O length. It is clearly seen that there is an intramolecular hydrogen bond with N2···H1A distance 1.86 Å. There is also intermolecular hydrogen bond in the molecule. There appears to be four different intermolecular hydrogen bonds in **HL**₁₈: C14–H14A···O1 (2.36 Å), N2–H2A···Cl3 (2.21 Å), O1–H1···Cl3 (2.24 Å) and O2–H2B···Cl3 (2.23 Å). These hydrogen bonds affect the solubility and stability of the molecule.

145 TABLE I. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters

Empirical formula	C ₁₄ H ₉ Cl ₃ N ₂ O ₂
Formula weight	343.58
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>C2/c</i>
<i>a</i> / Å	27.298 (4)
<i>b</i> / Å	7.3699 (9)
<i>c</i> / Å	14.1238 (18)
β / °	100.596 (4)
<i>V</i> / Å ³	2793.1 (6)
<i>z</i>	8
<i>D_c</i> / g cm ⁻³	1.634
μ / mm ⁻¹	0.66
θ range, °	2.9–26.5
Measured refls.	28774
Independent refls.	3224
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.058
<i>S</i>	1.05
<i>R1</i> / <i>wR2</i>	0.049/0.107
$\Delta\rho_{\max}/\Delta\rho_{\min}$	0.26/−0.25
CCDC	2194768

146 TABLE II. Hydrogen-bond parameters; symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2$; (ii) $-x+1, y+1/2,$
147 $-z+1/2$

D—H···A	D—H, Å	H···A, Å	D···A, Å	D—H···A, °
O1—H1A···N2	0.82	1.86	2.594	148
C14—H14A···O1	0.97	2.36	3.108 (4)	134
N2—H2A···Cl3 ⁱ	0.86	2.21	3.063 (3)	176
O1—H1···Cl3	0.82	2.24	3.047 (3)	166
O2—H2B···Cl3 ⁱⁱ	0.82	2.23	3.045 (3)	173

148 Fig. 1. Molecular structure of **HL₁₈** showing the atom numbering scheme.
149150 *General properties*

151 In this study, twenty 5,6-dichlorobenzimidazolyl-phenol derivatives were
152 obtained, eighteen of which are reported here for the first time. In addition, five

related transition metal complexes were obtained by allowing **HL**₁ to react with Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II) and Pd(II) ions at a mole ratio of 1:2 metal:ligand. This ratio is 1:1 in the Zn(II) complex obtained and 1:2 in the others. Despite many attempts, no single crystal sample suitable for X-ray study could be obtained from the complexes.

The molar conductivity values of all complexes in DMF are below 50 S m² mol⁻¹, and these values indicate non-ionic structures according to Geary.³⁶

The magnetic moment value of the Ni(II) complex was found to be 2.05 μ_B , which is lower than the 2.83 μ_B expected for octahedral or tetrahedral geometries, which are paramagnetic structures that the d^8 ion with two unpaired electrons may have. Such low values are generally thought to be due to the distorted geometry between the tetrahedral and square planar systems, called quasi-tetrahedral.³⁷ The magnetic moment value found as 3.47 μ_B for the Co(II) complex can be considered as evidence that the five-coordinated d^7 complex is in a high-spin structure (three unpaired electrons). The geometry of the central ion may be octahedral. The magnetic moment value of the Cu(II) complex at room temperature was found to be 1.62 μ_B , indicating the formation of a monomeric complex. Although this value is lower than the theoretical value of 1.71 μ_B for the Cu(II) ion with d^9 electronic configuration, it remains within acceptable limits due to the influence of various factors.

FT-IR spectra

FT-IR spectral data are given in the Supplementary material (for **HL**₁–**HL**₂₀). In the IR spectra of most of the benzimidazolyphenol derivatives, strong or medium bands are seen in the range of 3243–3420 cm⁻¹. These bands are related to the combination of $\nu(\text{O-H})$ and $\nu(\text{N-H})$ frequencies and arises from the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between C=N nitrogen and OH hydrogen atoms.^{38,39} This band observed at 3333 cm⁻¹ in **HL**₁ disappeared as a result of the coordination of phenolic oxygen atom with the formation of the complexes.⁴⁰

The stretching and out-of-plane bending modes ($\nu(\text{C-H})$ and $\delta(\text{C-H})$) of the aromatic rings are detected at the 3117–3042 cm⁻¹ and 870–800 cm⁻¹ wavenumber regions, respectively, for all the compounds. The stretching frequencies of the aromatic C=C and the imidazole C=N groups are identified at the ranges of 1577–1609 cm⁻¹ and 1614–1657 cm⁻¹, respectively, as expected. In the spectra of **HL**₁, the medium band at 1256 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to $\nu(\text{C-O})$ of the phenolic group and it shifted to the range of 1233–1246 cm⁻¹ in the complexes as a result of the phenolic oxygen atom coordination. The bands of $\nu(\text{C-O})$ were determined in the range of 1182–1273 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of other benzimidazolyphenol derivatives (**HL**₂–**HL**₂₀).

The C–Cl stretching vibrations are seen at the range of 710–796 cm^{-1} as medium bands in all of the compounds.⁴¹ In the iodine-containing compounds, **HL**₅ and **HL**₁₇, the C–I vibrations bond can be detected around 550 cm^{-1} ; in the bromine-containing compounds, **HL**₃, **HL**₁₃, **HL**₁₆, **HL**₁₉ and **HL**₂₀, the medium bands between 550 and 600 cm^{-1} can be attributed to $\nu(\text{C–Br})$. The $\nu(\text{C–F})$ is expected to give a band above 1000 cm^{-1} in derivatives containing fluorine atom (**HL**₂, **HL**₁₃ and **HL**₁₄); however it is difficult to detect the band of this bond due to interference with the vibrations of other bonds.⁴²

The emergence of new bands of medium intensity around 503 cm^{-1} can be assigned to the $\nu(\text{M–OC})$ vibration frequencies resulting from phenolic oxygen atom coordination.⁴³ It was evaluated that the new bands appearing in the complexes between 443–462 cm^{-1} belong to the stretching vibration mode of the $\text{M}\leftarrow\text{N}$ bond formed as a result of the coordination of the C=N nitrogen atom.⁴⁴ The coordination of the C=N nitrogen atom can also be associated with the shift of the 1639 cm^{-1} band of the ligand to the lower wavenumbers in the IR spectra of the complexes to 1602–1620 cm^{-1} range. The broad bands with medium characteristics between 3365 and 3225 cm^{-1} in the complexes mightily support the presence of the H_2O molecules.

It is known that the keto-enol structure is found in benzimidazolylphenol type compounds.¹⁶ According to the IR spectral data, **HL**₃, **HL**₄, **HL**₁₂–**HL**₁₅, **HL**₁₇ and **HL**₂₀ compounds also have keto form in the solid state (Fig. 2). In other words, these compounds exist as a mixture of the keto and enol form. The weak or medium bands at 1662–1723 cm^{-1} range are considered to arise from the C=O bond in the keto form of the compounds in the solid state.




Fig. 2. Keto-enol tautomer structures of **HL**₁₅.

NMR spectra

¹H-NMR spectral data of **HL**₁–**HL**₂₀ and the diamagnetic complexes are given in the Supplementary material. In the ¹H-NMR spectra of the compounds, signals of the NH and OH protons were detected at the 13.88–11.64 ppm range, and aromatic ring protons appeared in the range of 7.0 to 8.5 ppm. In ¹H-NMR spectra, whether salicylic OH protons are removed or not can be evaluated in relation to whether complexation occurs via the oxygen atom. Indeed, the absence of OH proton in both Zn(II) and Pd(II) complexes of **HL**₁ shows that phenolic proton is removed and the oxygen atom is coordinated. While the chemical shift

values of the ligand protons in Pd(II) complex were detected at significantly higher ppm values (downfield shift), the shifts in Zn(II) complex were observed to be weaker compared to the Pd(II) complex, albeit in the same direction.

Although the keto form of some benzimidazolyphenols is dominant in the solid state according to IR data, the detection of OH protons shows that the enol structure is dominant in DMSO (or organic solvents) in the NMR spectra of all benzimidazolyphenol derivatives.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

Thermal analysis data of the complexes are given in the Supplementary material to this paper. The samples were heated from room temperature up to 800 °C in air atmosphere. Thermogravimetric analysis values provide important clues, especially explaining the status of H₂O molecules. It is known that lattice H₂O molecules removed up to 100 °C and coordinated H₂O molecules up to 200 °C. The 5.7 % mass loss seen in thermal analysis of the Co(II) complex around 150 °C can be considered as an indication of presence of two moles of coordinated H₂O considering the fact that two moles of H₂O in the complex corresponds to a theoretical mass of 5.5 %. The mass losses of 5.8 and 5.4 % in Ni(II) and Pd(II) complexes up to 100 °C, respectively, can be explained by the presence of two moles of lattice H₂O in both complexes (theoretical values are 5.5 and 5.15 % for Ni(II) and Pd(II) complexes, respectively). In addition, the absence of significant mass loss in these complexes between 100 and 200 °C (0.2 and 0.3 %, respectively for Ni(II) and Pd(II) complexes) can be attributed to the absence of coordinated H₂O molecules. In the Zn(II) complex, the mass losses of 8.6 % up to 100 °C and 4.3 % between 100 and 200 °C are evaluated to be related to two moles lattice and one mole coordinated H₂O molecules, respectively.

According to TGA data, the Zn(II) complex begins to decompose above 200 °C and the others above 300 °C. The different thermal behavior of the Zn(II) complex may be related to its different M:L ratio from the others with a ratio of 1:1. The fact that a greater mass loss occurs in the Zn(II) complex above 200 °C, unlike the others, can be interpreted that the chlorine atom starts leaving as HCl complex around this temperature and is completely removed up to 300 °C (totally 25.1 % mass loss).

It is possible to suggest that above 500 °C all complexes are completely decomposed and metal oxide forms begin to form. The mass ratios remaining from the complexes after complete dissociation are consistent with the theoretical mass ratios calculated for metal oxides.

Fluorescence spectra

The emission maximum data of the compounds are given in the Supplementary material. It was observed that **HL**₁ emits strong fluorescence at 466 nm.

Among the compounds, the highest emission spectrum wavelength belongs to **HL₉** (4-methoxy derivative), which has dual fluorescence characteristic, with a value of 508 nm. **HL₉** also emits strongly at 389 nm. The lowest emission spectrum wavelength belongs to **HL₈** (5-methoxy derivative) with a value of 452 nm (Fig. 3). **HL₆**, which has a chlorine substitution at 2-position on the phenol ring, is another compound that exhibits a lower emission wavelength (blueshift) according to **HL₁**, with a value of 463 nm. A redshift effect is observed in all compounds except **HL₆** and **HL₈**. Based on these observations, it can be concluded that substitutions generally cause redshift.




Fig. 3. Comparative fluorescence spectra of **HL₁** and its derivatives with the lowest and highest emission wavelength values.

While there is no significant shift in the complexes relative to the ligand, there is a significant decrease (or quenching effect) in the fluorescence intensity. The Zn(II) complex, which emits light at 450 nm, differs from the others by showing a red shift. It is also worth noting that the decrease in fluorescence intensity in the Cu(II) complex is greater than the others (Fig. 4).

Considering all the analytical, physicochemical and spectroscopic data described above, the proposed structures in Fig. 5 can be suggested for the complexes.

Antimicrobial activity

The results of the in vitro antibacterial and antifungal activities of the compounds and the values of the antibiotic and antifungal drugs given for comparison are presented in Table III as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).

When the Table III is examined, it is noted that the first eleven compounds (**HL₁** to **HL₁₁**) show higher activity when compared to the other compounds and selective activity against some microorganisms. The common feature of these compounds is that they are all mono substituted derivatives of **HL₁**. It can be said




Fig. 4. The comparative fluorescence spectra of **HL**₁ and its complexes.




Fig. 5. Suggested coordinations for the complexes in the study.

that all mono substituted derivatives show selective activity especially against Gram-positive bacteria, *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*. The activity of **HL**₇ (6'-methoxy derivative) against *S. epidermidis* is remarkable with 9.75 µg mL⁻¹. However, another noteworthy finding is that disubstituted derivatives of **HL**₁ (**HL**₁₂ to **HL**₂₀) are also moderately or weakly effective against all microorganisms (non-selective general activity).

TABLE III. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of the compounds (MIC / µg mL⁻¹); *Sa* – *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213; *Se* – *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC 12228; *Ec* – *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922; *Kp* – *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 4352; *Pa* – *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853; *Pm* – *Proteus mirabilis* ATCC 14153; *Ca* – *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231; *Cp* – *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019; *Ct* – *Candida tropicalis* ATCC 750; references: ciprofloxacin and amphotericin B were used for bacteria and fungi, respectively

Compound	Microorganism								
	<i>Sa</i> ^a	<i>Se</i> ^a	<i>Ec</i> ^b	<i>Kp</i> ^b	<i>Pa</i> ^b	<i>Pm</i> ^b	<i>Ca</i>	<i>Cp</i>	<i>Ct</i>
HL ₁	19.5	39	78	625	– ^c	312	–	625	625
HL ₂	19.5	156	312	156	–	312	–	39	625
HL ₃	19.5	156	312	625	–	312	–	156	625

309 TABLE III. Continued

Compound	Microorganism								
	<i>Sa</i> ^a	<i>Se</i> ^a	<i>Ec</i> ^b	<i>Kp</i> ^b	<i>Pa</i> ^b	<i>Pm</i> ^b	<i>Ca</i>	<i>Cp</i>	<i>Ct</i>
HL ₄	39	39	625	156	–	625	–	312	312
HL ₅	156	312	625	625	625	312	625	1250	1250
HL ₆	78	156	156	156	–	156	39	156	312
HL ₇	156	9.75	312	625	–	312	–	312	312
HL ₈	156	156	312	312	–	625	–	312	625
HL ₉	156	78	156	625	–	312	–	625	625
HL ₁₀	19.5	78	312	156	–	625	–	312	625
HL ₁₁	39	19.5	156	312	–	312	–	156	625
HL ₁₂	156	312	625	625	1250	625	1250	1250	1250
HL ₁₃	312	156	625	625	625	625	1250	1250	625
HL ₁₄	312	156	1250	625	1250	625	1250	625	625
HL ₁₆	156	312	1250	625	1250	312	1250	625	625
HL ₁₆	312	156	1250	1250	1250	312	1250	1250	625
HL ₁₇	156	156	625	625	1250	312	625	625	1250
HL ₁₈	312	312	625	625	1250	625	1250	1250	1250
HL ₁₉	156	156	625	625	1250	625	625	625	1250
HL ₂₀	312	156	625	1250	1250	625	625	1250	1250
HL ₁ +Co(II)	625	312	1250	625	625	625	625	625	1250
HL ₁ +Ni(II)	312	312	1250	1250	1250	312	1250	1250	1250
HL ₁ +Cu(II)	312	312	1250	625	1250	312	625	1250	625
HL ₁ +Zn(II)	156	156	2500	1250	1250	312	1250	625	1250
HL ₁ +Pd(II)	625	156	625	1250	625	312	1250	625	1250
References	0.25	0.0625	0.0156	0.0078	1.0	0.0156	0.5	1.0	1.0

310 ^aGram-positive; ^bGram-negative; ^cno antimicrobial effect at 5000 µg mL⁻¹ and lower dilutions

311 In our previous studies, it was determined that various benzimidazole deriv-
 312 atives exhibited selective activity, particularly against Gram-positive bacteria and
 313 some fungal species.^{18,45} It was observed that benzimidazolyphenol derivatives
 314 containing methoxy and nitro/bromine/chlorine groups were distinguished from
 315 others by their activity against Gram-positive bacteria such as *S. aureus* and also
 316 *C. albicans*.⁴¹

317 No significant antimicrobial activity was detected in the complexes according
 318 to the ligand. The only striking feature is that the complexes showed slightly higher
 319 antifungal activity against *C. albicans*, where the ligand showed no activity. It can
 320 be assumed that the complexes form stable chelates with the ligands at an 1:2 M:L
 321 ratio, thus limiting the activity of the ligands and therefore lowering the activity
 322 compared to the ligand. The relatively high activity of the Zn(II) complex, with a
 323 1:1 M:L ratio, against Gram-positive bacteria may be attributable to its structural
 324 feature. A possible mechanism is that the Zn(II) complex, thought to bind one mole
 325 of water, releases water upon contact with bacteria and binds to their proteins.

CONCLUSION

In this study, 2-(5,6-dichloro-1*H*-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (**HL**₁) and its nineteen derivatives with different substituents on the phenol ring were obtained and their structural properties were investigated. Structure of **HL**₁₈ was investigated by X-ray single crystal spectroscopy, also. Additionally, M(II) complexes (M: Co, Ni, Cu, Zn and Pd) of **HL**₁ were obtained and various physicochemical and spectroscopic properties were investigated. It was found that all complexes have non-electrolytic properties and the M:L ratios are 1:1 in the Zn(II) complex and 1:2 in the other complexes. Fluorescence spectral studies show that in all compounds except **HL**₆ and **HL**₈, the substitution results in a shift of the fluorescence emission to lower wavelengths (redshift) compared to **HL**₁. The zinc(II) complex showed a greater redshift effect compared to the other complexes. After characterization, antibacterial and antifungal activity of all the compounds was evaluated against six bacteria and three fungi. Compounds **HL**₁ to **HL**₁₁ (**HL**₁ and its mono-substituted derivatives) show better compared to the other compounds and selective activity against some microorganisms. Another noteworthy result is that the complexes of **HL**₁ show weak activity against *C. albicans*, against which **HL**₁ itself is inactive. It was observed that the Zn(II) complex showed relatively higher activity against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* (Gram-positive bacteria) compared to the other complexes.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Additional data and information are available electronically at the pages of journal website: <https://www.shd-pub.org.rs/index.php/JSCS/article/view/13486>, or from the corresponding author on request.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit of Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Project number: 24777.

ИЗВОД

СПЕКТРАЛНА КАРАКТЕРИЗАЦИЈА И ИСПИТИВАЊЕ АНТИМИКРОБНЕ АКТИВНОСТИ 5,6-ДИХЛОРО-1*H*-БЕНЗИМИДАЗОЛ-2-ИЛ-(4'/5'/6'-СУПСТИТУИСАНИХ)ФЕНОЛА (**HL**₁–**HL**₂₀) И Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II) И Pd(II) КОМПЛЕКСА СА **HL**₁

AYDIN TAVMAN¹, DEMET GURBUZ², MAYRAM HACIOGLU³, ADEM CINARLI², ONUR SAHIN⁴
и А. SEHER BIRTEKSOZ TAN³

¹Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry Division, 34320 Avcilar, Istanbul, Türkiye, ²Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry Division, 34320 Avcilar, Istanbul, Türkiye, ³Istanbul University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, 34452 Beyazit, Istanbul, Türkiye и ⁴Sinop University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Occupational Health and Safety, 57000 Sinop, Türkiye

5,6-Дихлоро-1*H*-бензимидазол-2-ил-(4'/5'/6'-супституисани)феноли (**HL**₁–**HL**₂₀) и MCl₂ комплекси (M: Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pd) са **HL**₁ синтетисани су и окарактерисани разли-

читим физичко–хемијским и спектроскопским методама, као што су елементална анализа, термогравиметријска анализа, FTIR, NMR и флуоресцентна спектроскопија. Структуре комплекса потврђене су и мерењем моларне проводљивости и магнетног момента. **HL₁** једињење се координовало као бидентатни, монобазни хелатни лиганд са NO доносним атомима у свим комплексима. Утврђено је да су сви комплекси неелектролити, при чему је однос метала и лиганда (M:L) 1:1 у Zn(II) комплексу и 1:2 у осталим комплексима. Кристална структура **HL₁₈** је, такође, испитивана, при чему је у оба молекула уочено присуство интра- и интермолекулских водоничних веза. На основу података добијених флуоресцентном спектроскопијом, супституенти у положају 4 изазвали су померање максимума емисије ка нижим таласним дужинама (црвено померање) у поређењу са **HL₁**, док су супституенти у положају 3 и 5 узроковали плаво померање. Zn(II) комплекс је показао израженије црвено померање у односу на друге комплексе. Поред тога, антимикуробна активност једињења је испитивана према шест бактеријских и три гљивичне врсте. Примећено је да **HL₁** и његови моносупституисани деривати (**HL₁**–**HL₁₁**) показују селективну активност, посебно према Грам-позитивним бактеријама *S. aureus* и *S. epidermidis*. Zn(II) комплекс је показао релативно већу активност према Грам-позитивним бактеријама у поређењу са осталим комплексима.

(Примљено 6. августа, ревидирано 12. октобра, прихваћено 29. октобра 2025)

REFERENCES

1. M. Rasschaert, D. Schrijvers, J. van den Brande, J. Dyck, J. Bosmans, K. Merkle, J. B. Vermorken, *Br. J. Cancer* **96** (2007) 1692 (<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6603776>)
2. M. Montillo, F. Ricci, A. Tedeschi, E. Vismara, E. Morra, *Expert Rev. Hematol.* **3** (2010) 131 (<https://doi.org/10.1586/ehm.10.7>)
3. C. S. P. Sastry, P. Y. Naidu, S. S. N. Murty, *Talanta* **44** (1997) 1211 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-9140\(97\)83117-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-9140(97)83117-7))
4. C. Delescluse, M. P. Piechock, N. Ledirac, R. H. Hines, R. Li, X. Gidrol, R. Rahmani, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **61** (2001) 399 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952\(00\)00562-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952(00)00562-1))
5. K. K. Mothilal, C. Karunakaran, A. Rajendran, R. Murugesan, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **98** (2004) 322 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2003.10.017>)
6. E. J. Cardoso, A. F. Luna, J. P. Urizar, *Acta Tropica* **92** (2004) 237 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2004.08.003>)
7. M. Savlik, P. Polaskova, B. Szotakova, J. Lamka, L. Skalova, *Res. Vet. Sci.* **79** (2005) 139 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2004.10.007>)
8. R. G. Almeida, J. C. Florio, H. S. Spinosa, M. M. Bernardi, *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* **24** (2002) 255 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-0362\(02\)00203-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-0362(02)00203-9))
9. J. Gronvold, T. S. Svendsen, H. O. Kraglund, J. Bresciani, J. Monrad, *Vet. Parasitol.* **124** (2004) 91 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.06.003>)
10. B. Pathare, T. Bansode, *Results Chem.* **3** (2021) 100200 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rechem.2021.100200>)
11. S. R. Brishty, M. J. Hossain, M. U. Khandaker, M. R. I. Faruque, H. Osman, S. M. A. Rahman, *Front. Pharmacol.* **12** (2021) 762807 (<https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.762807>)
12. G. R. Morais, E. Palma, F. Marques, L. Gano, M. C. Oliveira, A. Abrunhosa, H. V. Miranda, A. Paulo, T. F. Outeiro, I. Santos, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **54** (2015) 255 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.2575>)
13. R. Sharma, A. Bali, B. Chandhari, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **27** (2017) 3007 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.05.017>)

- 414 14. A. S. Alpan, S. Parlar, L. Carlino, A. H. Tarikogullari, V. Alptüzün, H. S. Güneş, *Bioorg.*
415 *Med. Chem.* **21** (2013) 4928 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2013.06.065>)
416 15. R. Bonnett, *Chem. Rev.* **63** (1963) 573 (<https://doi.org/10.1021/cr60226a002>)
417 16. W. Y. Zhu, K. Liu, X. Zhang, *Sens. Diagn.* **2** (2023) 665
418 (<https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SD00020F>)
419 17. R. Sathyanarayana, V. Kumar, G. H. Pujar, B. Poojary, M. K. Shankar, S. Yallappa, *J.*
420 *Photochem. Photobiol., A* **402** (2020) 112751
421 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2020.112751>)
422 18. A. Tavman, D. Gürbüz, A. A. Karaçelik, D. N. Çolak, D. Efe, A. Cinarli, *Rev. Roum.*
423 *Chim.* **69** (2024) 201 (<https://doi.org/10.33224/rrch.2024.69.3-4.10>)
424 19. A. Tavman, I. Boz, A. S. Birteksöz, *Spectrochim. Acta, A* **77** (2010) 199
425 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2010.05.008>)
426 20. A. Tavman, I. Boz, A. S. Birteksöz, A. Cinarli, *J. Coord. Chem.* **63** (2010) 1398
427 (<https://doi.org/10.1080/00958971003789835>)
428 21. A. Tavman, D. Gürbüz, S. Oksüz, A. Cinarli, *Mor. J. Chem.* **6** (2018) 328
429 (<https://doi.org/10.48317/IMIST.PRSM/morjchem-v6i2.7909>)
430 22. L. Wang, C. X. Zhang, J. Q. Zhao, *Chinese J. Struct. Chem.* **33** (2014) 1479
431 (https://caod.oriprobe.com/articles/43884889/Synthesis__Structure_and_Catalytic_Activity_of_a_M.htm)
432 23. M. Haghverdi, A. Tadjarodi, N. Bahri-Laleh, M. N. Haghighi, *J. Coord. Chem.* **71**
433 (2018)1180 (<https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2018.1446527>)
434 24. M. Haghverdi, A. Tadjarodi, N. Bahri-Laleh, M. N. Haghighi, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*
435 **32** (2018) e4015 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.4015>)
436 25. T. K. C. Huynh, T. H. A. Nguyen, T. C. T. Nguyen, T. K. D. Hoang, *RSC Adv.* **10** (2020)
437 20543 (<https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA02282A>)
438 26. M. M. Karpinska, J. Matysiak, A. Niewiadomy, *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **34** (2011) 1639
439 (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12272-011-1008-0>)
440 27. H. F. Ridley, G. W. Spickett, G. M. Timmis, *J. Het. Chem.* **2** (1965) 453
441 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570020424>)
442 28. Z. Karimi-Jaberi, M. Amir, *J. Chem.* **9** (2012) 167 (<https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/793978>)
443 29. *M27-A3: Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts*,
444 Approved Standard, 3rd ed., Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA,
445 2012
446 30. *M100-Ed.31: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial*, Clinical and Laboratory
447 Standards Institute, Wayne, PA, 2021
448 31. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst., A* **64** (2008) 112
449 (<https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767307043930>)
450 32. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst., C* **71** (2015) 3
451 (<https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053229614024218>)
452 33. APEX2, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2013
453 34. C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L.
454 Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. van de Streek, P. A. Wood, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41** (2008) 466
455 (<https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889807067908>)
456 35. L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45** (2012) 849
457 (<https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889812029111>)
458 36. W. Geary, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **7** (1971) 81 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-8545\(00\)80009-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-8545(00)80009-0))
459
460

- 461 37. D. Lomjanský, C. Rajnák, J. Titis, J. Monco, L. Smolko, R. Boča, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **483**
462 (2018) 352 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2018.08.029>)
463 38. A. Tavman, *Spectrochim. Acta, A* **63** (2006) 343
464 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2005.05.020>)
465 39. D. Kanamori, Y. Yamada, A. Onoda, T. A. Okamura, S. Adachi, H. Yamamoto, N.
466 Ueyama, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **358** (2005) 331 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2004.09.014>)
467 40. V. M. Leovac, L. S. Jovanović, V. S. Čečljević, L. J. Bjwlica, V. B. Arion, N. V. Gerbelu,
468 *Polyhedron* **13** (1994) 3005 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5387\(00\)83421-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5387(00)83421-X))
469 41. N. Sundaraganesan, C. Meganathan, B. Anand, C. Lapouge, *Spectrochim. Acta, A* **66**
470 (2007) 773 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2006.04.025>)
471 42. D. Mahadevan, S. Periandy, M. Karabacak, S. Ramalingam, *Spectrochim. Acta, A* **82**
472 (2011) 481 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2011.07.082>)
473 43. P. E. Kazin, M. A. Pogosova, L. A. Trusov, I. V. Kolesnik, O. V. Magdysyuk, R. E.
474 Dinnebier, *J. Solid State Chem.* **237** (2016) 349
475 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2016.03.004>)
476 44. K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds,*
477 *Part B*, 5th ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997
478 45. A. Tavman, A. Z. Elmal, D. Gürbüz, M. Hacıoglu, A. S. Birteksöz Tan, A. Cinarli, *Rev.*
479 *Roum. Chim.* **68** (2023) 49 (<https://doi.org/10.33224/rch.2023.68.1-2.05>).



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL TO

**Spectral characterization and antimicrobial activity studies of
5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl-(4'/5'/6'-substituted)-phenols
(HL₁–HL₂₀) and Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II) and Pd(II)
complexes of HL₁**

AYDIN TAVMAN^{1*}, DEMET GURBUZ², MAYRAM HACIOGLU³, ADEM CINARLI²,
ONUR SAHIN⁴ and A. SEHER BIRTEKSOZ TAN³

¹Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Inorganic
Chemistry Division, 34320 Avcılar, Istanbul, Türkiye, ²Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Faculty
of Engineering, Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry Division, 34320 Avcılar, Istanbul,
Türkiye, ³Istanbul University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical
Microbiology, 34452 Beyazıt, Istanbul, Türkiye, and ⁴Sinop University, Faculty of Health
Sciences, Department of Occupational Health and Safety, 57000 Sinop, Türkiye

J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 90 (0) (2025) 000–000

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemistry and apparatus

All chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and they were used without further purification.

Analytical data were obtained with a Thermo Finnigan Flash EA 1112 analyzer. Melting points were determined using a Buchi M-560 melting-point apparatus. Molar conductivity of the complexes was measured on a WTW Cond315i conductivity meter in DMF at 25 °C. Magnetic measurements: MK1 Sherwood Scientific apparatus (at room temperature by Gouy's method). NMR spectra were run on a Varian Unity Inova 500 NMR spectrometer. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Optics Vertex 70 spectrometer using Attenuated Total Reflection (ATR) techniques between 400 and 4000 cm⁻¹. The Electron Spray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis was carried out in positive ion modes using a Thermo Finnigan LCQ Advantage MAX LC/MS/MS. Fluorescence spectra were performed on a Shimadzu RF-5301 PC Spectrofluorophotometer in EtOH (*c* = ~1×10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹).

[Co(L₁)₂(H₂O)₂]: Light brown solid. Yield: 71 %; dec. p.: 168 °C; Combustion analysis for C₂₆H₁₈Cl₄N₄O₄Co (FW: 651.19): Calculated. C 47.95, H 2.79, N 8.60; found C 48.42, H 3.04, N 8.48. Magnetic moment, μ_{eff} : 3.47 μ_{B} . A_{M} (DMF, 25 °C, S m² mol⁻¹): 21.3. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3225m, br ν (H₂O+NH), 3107m ν (CH)_{ar}, 1607m ν (C=N), 1599m ν (C=C), 1485m, 1453m, 1372m, 1245m ν (C–O), 1098m, 960m, 864m δ (CH)_{ar}, 813m, 751s ν (C–Cl), 677m, 578m, 545m, 502m ν (Co–O), 462m ν (Co←N), 428m. TGA (t / °C: weight loss, %): 100: 1.1; 150: 5.7; 200: 6.7; 300: 19.4; 400: 53.1; 500: 85.2; 600: 87.5; 700: 89.1; 800: 89.1 (Theoretical value of CoO: 11.5 %). Fluorescence spectra (EtOH, *c* = 1×10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹, λ_{max} / nm): 469m.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: atavman@iuc.edu.tr

516 [Ni(L₁)₂]·2H₂O: Light brown solid. Yield: 73 %; dec. p.: 165 °C; Combustion analysis for
 517 C₂₆H₁₈Cl₄N₄O₄Ni (FW: 650.95): Calculated. C 47.97, H 2.79, N 8.61; found C 48.33, H 2.96,
 518 N 8.44. Magnetic moment, μ_{eff} : 2.05 μ_{B} . A_{M} (DMF, 25 °C, S m² mol⁻¹): 31.5. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹):
 519 3356m v(OH), 3269m v(NH), 3068m v(CH)_{ar.}, 1609m v(C=N), 1595m v(C=C), 1503m, 1456m,
 520 1387m, 1246m v(C–O), 1103m, 1039m, 854m δ (CH)_{ar.}, 815m, 754s v(C–Cl), 680m, 616m,
 521 537m, 504m v(Ni–O), 449m v(Ni←N), 416m. TGA (t / °C: weight loss, %): 100: 5.8; 150: 6.0;
 522 200: 6.5; 300: 18.2; 400: 51.6; 500: 86.5; 600: 88.2; 700: 88.8; 800: 88.8 (Theoretical value of
 523 NiO: 11.5 %). Fluorescence spectra (EtOH, $c = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L⁻¹, λ_{max} / nm): 417sh, 462m.

524 [Cu(L₁)₂]·H₂O: Brown solid. Yield: 80 %; dec. p.: 332 °C; Combustion analysis for
 525 C₂₆H₁₆Cl₄N₄O₃Cu (FW: 637.80): Calculated. C 48.96, H 2.53, N 8.78; found C 48.60, H 2.54,
 526 N 8.41. Magnetic moment, μ_{eff} : 1.62 μ_{B} . A_{M} (DMF, 25 °C, S m² mol⁻¹): 7.1. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹):
 527 3357m,br v(H₂O+NH), 3130m,br, 3054w v(CH)_{ar.}, 1617m v(C=N), 1588m v(C=C), 1556m,
 528 1451m, 1355m, 1244sv(C–O), 1197m, 1100m, 975m, 868m δ (CH)_{ar.}, 759m, 742m v(C–Cl),
 529 691m, 573m, 546m, 503m v(Cu–O), 443m v(Cu←N), 420m. TGA (t / °C: weight loss, %): 100:
 530 2.7; 150: 3.3; 200: 6.3; 300: 18.0; 400: 49.5; 500: 85.5; 600: 87.3; 700: 87.5; 800: 87.7
 531 (Theoretical value of CuO: 12.5 %). Fluorescence spectra (EtOH, $c = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L⁻¹, λ_{max} /
 532 nm): 469w,br.

533 [Zn(L₁)Cl(H₂O)]·2H₂O: Dirty white solid. Yield: 71 %; dec.p.: 270 °C; Combustion
 534 analysis for C₁₃H₁₃Cl₃N₂O₄Zn (FW: 433.02): Calculated. C 36.06, H 3.03, N 6.47; found C
 535 35.84, H 2.88, N 6.32. A_{M} (DMF, 25 °C, S m² mol⁻¹): 45.8. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3365m,br v(OH),
 536 3203m,br v(NH), 3042w v(CH)_{ar.}, 1620m v(C=N), 1609m v(C=C), 1558m, 1483m, 1456m,
 537 1321m, 1233s v(C–O), 1147m, 969m, 856m δ (CH)_{ar.}, 740s v(C–Cl), 692m, 577m, 541s, 505m
 538 v(Zn–O), 443m v(Zn←N), 421m. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ): 12.81 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 7.89
 539 (*brs*, 2H, H4+H7), 7.84 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.9$, $J_2 = 1.6$, H3'), 7.15 (*dt*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.3$, $J_2 = 1.4$, H4'),
 540 6.71 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.7$, $J_2 = 3.7$, H6'), 6.52 (*td*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.2$, $J_2 = 7.1$, $J_3 = 1.2$, H5'). TGA (t / °C:
 541 weight loss, %): 100: 8.6; 150: 11.7; 200: 16.4; 300: 25.1; 400: 53.5; 500: 79.4; 600: 82.2; 700:
 542 82.4; 800: 82.5 (Theoretical value of ZnO: 18.8 %). Fluorescence spectra (EtOH, $c = 1 \times 10^{-4}$
 543 mol L⁻¹, λ_{max} / nm): 425sh, 450m,br.

544 [Pd(L₁)₂]·2H₂O: Soil colored solid. Yield: 66 %; dec. p. >350 °C; Combustion analysis
 545 for C₂₆H₁₈Cl₄N₄O₄Pd (FW: 698.70): Calculated. C 44.41, H 3.01, N 7.21; found C 44.70, H
 546 2.60, N 8.02. A_{M} (DMF, 25 °C, S m² mol⁻¹): 14.3. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3269m,br v(NH+OH₂),
 547 3117w v(CH)_{ar.}, 1602m v(C=C), 1588m v(C=N), 1531m, 1476m, 1310m, 1239s v(C–O),
 548 1144m, 1034m, 969m, 870m δ (CH)_{ar.}, 753s v(C–Cl), 702m, 672m, 568m, 503m v(Pd–O), 443m
 549 v(Pd←N). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ): 13.43 (*s*, 1H, NH), 7.94 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.87 (*s*,
 550 1H, H4), 7.68 (*dt*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.0$, $J_2 = 1.7$, H5'), 7.45 (*dt*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.8$, $J_2 = 7.1$, $J_3 = 1.0$, H4'),
 551 7.22 (*d*, 1H, $J = 7.6$, H6'), 7.05 (*dt*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.0$, $J_2 = 1.0$, H3'). TGA (t / °C: weight loss, %):
 552 100: 5.4; 150: 5.7; 200: 6.1; 300: 19.9; 400: 49.7; 500: 74.6; 600: 77.3; 700: 81.1; 800: 81.2
 553 (Theoretical value of PdO: 17.5 %). Fluorescence spectra (EtOH, $c = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L⁻¹, λ_{max} /
 554 nm): 415sh, 459m.

555 2-(5,6-Dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (**HL₁**): Yield: 80 %. Slightly yellow solid.
 556 Decomposition point (Dec. p.): 331 °C. (+)ESI-MS (*m/z*): calculated for [C₁₃H₈Cl₂N₂O]⁺ 279.1,
 557 observed 279.3 (100 %) and 281.3 for [(C₁₃H₈Cl₂N₂O + 2H)⁺ (73.9 %). Combustion analysis
 558 for C₁₃H₈Cl₂N₂O: Calculated. C 55.94, H 2.89, N 10.04; found C 56.15, H 3.03, N 9.97. IR
 559 (ATR): 3333m v(NH+OH), 3101m v(CH)_{ar.}, 1639m v(C=N), 1600m v(C=C), 1578m, 1487s,
 560 1370m, 1256m v(C–O), 1136m, 1106m, 960m, 865m δ (CH)_{ar.}, 739s v(C–Cl), 678m, 580m,
 561 553m, 422m, cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ): 13.30 (*s*, 1H, NH), 12.42 (*s*, 1H, OH),
 562 8.08 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.9$, $J_2 = 1.6$, H3'), 8.00 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 7.84 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.41 (*dt*, 1H, $J_1 =$

1.6, $J_2 = 7.2$, $J_3 = 8.4$, H5'), 7.06 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.3$, $J_2 = 1.0$, H6'), 7.03 (*dt*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.6$, $J_2 = 1.1$, H4'). Fluorescence spectra (λ_{max} / nm): 394w, 466s.

2-(5,6-Dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-4-fluorophenol (**HL₂**): Yield: 71 %. Light yellow solid. Dec. p.: 352 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_2\text{FN}_2\text{O}]^+$ 297.1, observed 297.3 (100 %) and 299.3 for $[(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_2\text{FN}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H})]^+$ (66.0 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_2\text{FN}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 52.55, H 2.37, N 9.43; found C 52.71, H 2.53, N 9.27. IR (ATR, cm^{-1}): 3341m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3078m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 1650m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1600m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1583m, 1498m, 1448m, 1304m, 1255m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1168m, 1096m, 976m, 859m, 814s $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 780m, 743m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 665m, 561s, 470m, 443m, cm^{-1} . ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ): 13.27 (s, 1H, NH), 12.21 (s, 1H, OH), 8.02 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 7.93 (*d*, 1H, $J = 3.1$, H3'), 7.91 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.28 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 9.0$, $J_2 = 3.1$, H5'), 7.08 (*d*, 1H, $J = 9.1$, H6'). Fluorescence spectra (λ_{max} / nm): 393w, 480s.

4-Bromo-2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (**HL₃**): Yield: 85 %. Yellowish grey solid. Dec. p.: 220 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{BrCl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}]^+$ 359.0, observed 359.3 (100 %) and 357.3 for $[(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{BrCl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O} - \text{H})]^+$ (53.3 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{BrCl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 43.61, H 1.97, N 7.82; found C 43.45, H 1.91, N 7.74. IR (ATR, cm^{-1}): 3341m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3075m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 2960m,br, 1719m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$, 1620m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1609m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1482m, 1372m, 1249s $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1136m, 965m, 866m, 801s $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 739m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 632m, 565m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Br})$, 487m, 420m, cm^{-1} . ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ): 13.31 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 12.51 (*brs*, 1H, OH), 8.16 (*d*, 1H, $J = 2.4$, H3'), 7.92 (*brs*, 2H, H4+H7), 7.43 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.8$, $J_2 = 2.4$, H5'), 7.10 (*d*, 1H, $J = 8.8$, H6'). Fluorescence spectra (λ_{max} / nm): 393w, 474s.

4-Chloro-2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (**HL₄**): Yield: 81 %. Yellowish grey solid. Dec. p.: 221 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}]^+$ 313.5, observed 313.2 (100 %) and 315.2 for $[(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H})]^+$ (90.1 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 49.79, H 2.25, N 8.93; found: C 49.64, H 2.16, N 8.80. IR (cm^{-1}): 3338m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3077m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 2960m,br, 1723m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$, 1621m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1602m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1576m, 1474m, 1444m, 1355m, 1278m, 1249m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1136m, 1095m, 965m, 866m, 800m $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 745m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 720m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 648m, 564m, 543m, 490m, 420m, cm^{-1} . ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ): 13.32 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 12.52 (*brs*, 1H, OH), 8.29 (*d*, 1H, $J = 2.4$, H3'), 8.01 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 7.88 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.54 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.8$, $J_2 = 2.4$, H5'), 7.03 (*d*, 1H, $J = 8.8$, H6'). Fluorescence spectroscopy (λ_{max} /nm): 394w, 475m.

2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-4-iodophenol (**HL₅**): Yield: 65 %. Light orange solid. Dec. p.: 231 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_2\text{IN}_2\text{O}]^+$ 405.1, observed 405.1 (100 %) and 407.1 for $[(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_2\text{IN}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H})]^+$ (73.9 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_2\text{IN}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 38.55, H 1.74, N 6.92; found: C 38.41, H 1.63, N 6.84. IR (cm^{-1}): 3351m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3094m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 2930m,br, 1656m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1605m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1565m, 1466s, 1366m, 1273m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1181m, 1128m, 1095m, 961m, 865m, 814s $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 760m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 678m, 564m, 540m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{I})$, 508m, 419m, cm^{-1} . ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ): 13.22 (s, 1H, NH), 12.51 (s, 1H, OH), 8.38 (*d*, 1H, $J = 2.0$, H3'), 8.00 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 7.84 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.64 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.7$, $J_2 = 2.0$, H5'), 6.87 (*d*, 1H, $J = 8.7$, H6'). Fluorescence spectroscopy (λ_{max} /nm): 393w, 476m.

2-Chloro-6-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (**HL₆**): Yield: 80 %. Matte yellow solid. Dec. p.: 373 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}]^+$ 313.5, observed 313.2 (100 %), 315.2 ($[(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H})]^+$ (100 %) and 317.2 for $[(\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O} + 4\text{H})]^+$ (47.6 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7\text{Cl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 49.79, H 2.25, N 8.93; found: C 49.63, H 2.33, N 8.77. IR (cm^{-1}): 3336m,br $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3075w $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 1624m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1601m

610 $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1464m, 1441m, 1373m, 1252m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1094m, 968m, 860m $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 785m, 747m
 611 $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 736m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 665m, 611m, 571s, 427m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ):
 612 13.72 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 13.38 (*s*, 1H, OH1'), 8.08 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 8.02 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.9$, $J_2 = 1.5$,
 613 H3'), 7.87 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.59 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 7.9$, $J_2 = 1.5$, H5'), 7.06 (*t*, 1H, $J_1 = J_2 = 7.9$, H4').
 614 Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 394w,br, 463m,br.

615 2-(5,6-Dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol (**HL₇**): Yield: 90 %. Creamy
 616 colored solid. Dec. p.: 343 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2]^+$ 309.0,
 617 observed 309.1 (100 %), 311.1 ($[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}]^+$ (69.7 %). Combustion analysis for
 618 $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$: Calculated. C 54.39, H 3.26, N 9.06; found: C 54.25, H 3.37, N 8.95. IR
 619 (cm^{-1}): 3294m,br $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3058w $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 2943m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{al}}$, 1623m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1591m
 620 $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1476m, 1420m, 1372m, 1249s $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1182m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1095m, 1056m, 967m, 857m
 621 $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 776m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 731m, 603m, 545m, 425m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ):
 622 13.33 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 12.50 (*brs*, 1H, OH'), 7.92 (*brs*, 2H, H4+H3'), 7.63 (*d*, 1H, $J = 2.9$, H7),
 623 7.12 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.0$, $J_2 = 1.1$, H5'), 6.97 (*t*, 1H, $J_1 = J_2 = 8.0$, H4'), 3.84 (*s*, 3H, OCH₃).
 624 Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 394w,br, 481s.

625 2-(5,6-Dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-5-methoxyphenol (**HL₈**): Yield: 80 %. Slightly
 626 yellow solid. Dec. p.: 292 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2]^+$ 309.0,
 627 observed 309.3 (100 %), 311.2 ($[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}]^+$ (64.7 %). Combustion analysis for
 628 $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$: Calculated. C 54.39, H 3.26, N 9.06; found: C 54.24, H 3.41, N 8.94. IR
 629 (cm^{-1}): 3344m,br $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3102m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 2971m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{al}}$, 1640m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1600m
 630 $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1453m, 1388s, 1267m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1200m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1134m, 1026m, 951m, 865m, 817m
 631 $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 796m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 672m, 568m, 548m, 475m, 425m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ):
 632 13.17 (*s*, 1H, NH), 12.68 (*s*, 1H, OH), 7.96 (*d*, 1H, $J = 8.8$, H3'), 7.94 (*s*, 1H, H4), 7.77
 633 (*s*, 1H, H7), 6.64 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.8$, $J_2 = 2.5$, H4'), 6.59 (*d*, 1H, $J = 2.4$, H6'), 3.81 (*s*, 3H, OCH₃).
 634 Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 394w,br, 452m.

635 2-(5,6-Dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-4-methoxyphenol (**HL₉**): Yield: 71 %. Slightly
 636 yellow solid. Dec. p.: 352 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2]^+$ 309.0,
 637 observed 309.2 (100 %), 311.2 ($[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}]^+$ (59.9 %). Combustion analysis for
 638 $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$: Calculated. C 54.39, H 3.26, N 9.06; found: C 54.25, H 3.43, N 8.95. IR
 639 (cm^{-1}): 3306m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3076m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 2974m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{al}}$, 1619m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1598m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$,
 640 1493m, 1450m, 1370m, 1273m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1214m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1171m, 1042m, 968m, 866m, 809m
 641 $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 758m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 726m, 673m, 573m, 512m, 438m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ):
 642 13.24 (*s*, 1H, NH), 11.92 (*s*, 1H, OH), 8.01 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 7.85 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.66 (*d*,
 643 1H, $J = 2.4$, H3'), 7.03 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.9$, $J_2 = 2.6$, H5'), 6.99 (*d*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.9$, $J_2 = 7.9$, H6'), 3.80
 644 (*s*, 3H, OCH₃). Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 389s,br, 508m,br.

645 2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-4-methylphenol (**HL₁₀**): Yield: 72 %. Slightly
 646 yellow solid. Dec. p.: 326 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}]^+$ 293.0,
 647 observed 293.2 (69.9 %), 295.2 ($[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H}]^+$ (100 %). Combustion analysis for
 648 $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 57.36, H 3.44, N 9.56; found: C 57.47, H 3.30, N 9.49. FT-IR
 649 (cm^{-1}): 3467m $\nu(\text{OH})$, 3376m $\nu(\text{NH})$, 3062m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 2925m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{al}}$, 1614m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1584m
 650 $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1485s, 1354m, 1284m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1257m, 1134m, 962m, 855m, 812s $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar}}$, 780m
 651 $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 685m, 554m, 476m, 442m, 421m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ): 13.20
 652 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 12.16 (*brs*, 1H, OH), 7.95 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 7.89 (*d*, 1H, $J = 1.7$, H3'), 7.84 (*brs*,
 653 1H, H7), 7.21 (*dd*, 1H, $J_1 = 8.3$, $J_2 = 1.8$, H5'), 6.95 (*d*, 1H, $J = 8.3$, H6'), 2.32 (*s*, 3H, CH₃).
 654 Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 375w,br, 394w,br, 482m.

655 2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-6-methylphenol (**HL₁₁**): Yield: 94 %. Slightly
 656 bright yellow solid. Dec. p.: 357 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}]^+$ 293.0,

observed 293.3 (100 %), 295.2 ($[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H}]^+$ (92.7 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 57.36, H 3.44, N 9.56; found: C 57.50, H 3.55, N 9.42. IR (cm^{-1}): 3323m,br $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3054m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 2951m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{al.}}$, 1628m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1601m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1475m, 1445m, 1415m, 1370m, 1300m, 1252m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1094m, 1013m, 966m, 886m, 863m $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 786s $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 665m, 573s, 518m, 428m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO-d_6 , δ): 13.52 (s, 1H, NH), 13.01 (s, 1H, OH), 8.03 (s, 1H, H4), 7.87 d (1H, $J = 7.6$, H3'), 7.81 s (1H, H7), 7.31 d (1H, $J = 7.3$, H5'), 6.95 dd (1H, $J_1 = 7.5$, $J_2 = 7.6$, H4'), 2.26 (s, 3H, CH_3). Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 379w,br, 473s.

2-Bromo-4-chloro-6-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (HL_{12}): Yield: 82 %. Mate light yellow solid. Dec. p.: 228 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{BrCl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}]^+$ 393.5, observed 393.1 (100 %), 395.1 ($[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{BrCl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O} + 3\text{H}]^+$ (64.5 %), 391.1 ($[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{BrCl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O} - \text{H}]^+$ (55.7 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{BrCl}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 39.78, H 1.54, N 7.14; found: C 39.75, H 1.66, N 7.01. IR (cm^{-1}): 3403m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3085m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 1669s $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$, 1624m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1601m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1448m, 1373m, 1256m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1096m, 970m, 853m $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 754m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 722m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 669m, 610m, 554m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Br})$, 498m, 423m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO-d_6 , δ): 13.80 (brs, 1H, NH), 13.44 (s, 1H, OH), 8.18 (brs, 1H, H4), 8.05 (brs, 1H, H7), 7.95 (s, 1H, H3'), 7.84 (brs, 1H, H5'). Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 393w,br, 479s,br.

4-Bromo-2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-6-fluorophenol (HL_{13}): Yield: 74 %. Dirty yellow solid. Dec. p.: 284 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{BrCl}_2\text{FN}_2\text{O} + \text{H}]^+$ 376.0, observed 377.2 (100 %), 375.1 ($[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{BrCl}_2\text{FN}_2\text{O} - \text{H}]^+$ (65.6 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{BrCl}_2\text{FN}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 41.53, H 1.61, N 7.45; found: C 41.43, H 1.73, N 7.27. IR (cm^{-1}): 3398m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3084m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 2872m,br, 1662s $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$, 1614m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1577m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1489s, 1374m, 1265s $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1233m, 1095m, 972m, 916m, 855s $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 807m, 754m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 666m, 553m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Br})$, 427m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO-d_6 , δ): 13.58 (brs, 1H, NH), 13.15 (brs, 1H, OH), 8.10 (d, 1H, $J = 2.3$, H5'), 7.96 (brs, 1H, H4), 7.94 (brs, 1H H7), 7.66 (d, 1H, $J = 2.4$, H3'). Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 393w, 418sh, 469s.

2-Chloro-6-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-4-fluorophenol (HL_{14}): Yield: 89 %. Slightly yellow solid. Dec. p.: 341 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_3\text{FN}_2\text{O}]^+$ 331.5, observed 331.3 (100 %), 333.3 ($[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_3\text{FN}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H}]^+$ (73.9 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_3\text{FN}_2\text{O}$: Calculated. C 47.09, H 1.82, N 8.45; found: C 47.21, H 1.96, N 8.27. IR (cm^{-1}): 3420m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3089m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 1662m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$, 1622m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1577m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1466m, 1444s, 1370m, 1264m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1209m, 1100m, 999m, 867s $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 793m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 741m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 666m, 573m, 507m, 425m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO-d_6 , δ): 13.69 (brs, 1H, NH), 13.48 (brs, 1H, OH), 8.06 (brs, 1H, H5'), 7.92 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$, H4), 7.90 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$, H7), 7.61 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$, H3'). Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 394w,br, 482m,br.

2,4-Dichloro-6-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (HL_{15}): Yield: 86 %. Mate yellow solid. Dec. p.: 344 °C. (+)ESI-MS (m/z): calculated for $[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_4\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}]^+$ 349.0, observed 349.3 (100 %), 347.3 ($[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_4\text{N}_2\text{O} - \text{H}]^+$ (64.2 %), 351.3 ($[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_4\text{N}_2\text{O} + 3\text{H}]^+$ (54.8 %). Combustion analysis for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_4\text{N}_2\text{O}$: Calculated C 44.87, H 1.74, N 8.05; found: C 44.71, H 1.87, N 8.16. IR (cm^{-1}): 3408m $\nu(\text{NH}+\text{OH})$, 3078m $\nu(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 1722m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$, 1657m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N})$, 1623m $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$, 1576m, 1477m, 1442s, 1365m, 1259m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 1187m, 1099m, 974m, 869m, 817m $\delta(\text{CH})_{\text{ar.}}$, 766m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 728m $\nu(\text{C}-\text{Cl})$, 667m, 614m, 558m, 501m, 427m, cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (500 MHz, DMSO-d_6 , δ): 13.78 (brs, 2H, NH+OH), 8.15 (d, 1H, $J = 2.5$, H3'), 8.07 (brs, 1H, H4), 8.03 (brs, 1H, H7), 7.73 (d, 1H, $J = 2.5$, H5'). Fluorescence spectroscopy ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$): 393w,br, 478m,br.

703 2,4-Dibromo-6-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)phenol (**HL₁₆**): Yield: 75 %. Orange
 704 yellow solid. Dec. p.: 275 °C. (+)ESI-MS (*m/z*): calculated for [C₁₃H₆Br₂Cl₂N₂O]⁺ 437.0,
 705 observed 437.3 (100 %), 439.3 ([C₁₃H₆Br₂Cl₂N₂O + 2H]⁺ (98.9 %), 435.3 ([C₁₃H₆Br₂Cl₂N₂O
 706 – H]⁺ (41.6 %). Combustion analysis for C₁₃H₆Br₂Cl₂N₂O: Calculated. C 35.74, H 1.38, N
 707 6.41; found: C 35.65, H 1.47, N 6.55. IR (cm⁻¹): 3354w ν(NH), 3313w ν(OH), 3069m ν(CH)_{ar.},
 708 1637m ν(C=N), 1604m ν(C=C), 1558m, 1458s, 1445s, 1364m, 1259m ν(C–O), 1097m, 973m,
 709 855s, 836m δ(CH)_{ar.}, 733m ν(C–Cl), 684m, 599m, 550m ν(C–Br), 447m, 420m, cm⁻¹. ¹H-
 710 NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ): 13.88 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 13.82 (*brs*, 1H, OH), 8.32 (*s*, 1H, J = 2.2,
 711 H3'), 8.08 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 8.03 (*brs*, 1H, H7), 7.94 (*d*, 1H, J = 2.1, H5'). Fluorescence
 712 spectroscopy (λ_{max}/nm): 479s.

713 2-(5,6-Dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-4,6-diiodophenol (**HL₁₇**): Yield: 76 %. Yellowish
 714 orange solid. Dec. p.: 203 °C. (+)ESI-MS (*m/z*): calculated for [C₁₃H₆Cl₂I₂N₂O]⁺ 531.0,
 715 observed 531.3 (100 %), 533.2 ([C₁₃H₆Cl₂I₂N₂O + 2H]⁺ (64.1 %). Combustion analysis for
 716 C₁₃H₆Cl₂I₂N₂O: Calculated. C 29.41, H 1.14, N 5.28; found: C 29.57, H 1.07, N 5.37. IR
 717 (cm⁻¹): 3472m ν(NH), 3375m ν(OH), 3059m ν(CH)_{ar.}, 1665m ν(C=O), 1622m ν(C=N), 1594m
 718 ν(C=C), 1440s, 1356m, 1257m ν(C–O), 1136m, 1093m, 965m, 860s, 825m δ(CH)_{ar.}, 736m
 719 ν(C–Cl), 662m, 595m, 541m ν(C–I), 426m, cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ): 13.73
 720 (*brs*, 1H, NH), 12.64 (*s*, 1H, OH), 8.39 (*d*, 1H, J = 2.0, H5'), 8.13 (*s*, 1H, H4), 7.99 (*d*, 1H, J =
 721 2.0, H3'), 7.95 (*s*, 1H, H7). Fluorescence spectroscopy (λ_{max}/nm): 393w,br 486s.

722 4-Chloro-2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol (**HL₁₈**): Yield: 85 %.
 723 Matte yellow solid. Dec. p.: 274 °C. (+)ESI-MS (*m/z*): calculated for [C₁₄H₉Cl₃N₂O₂]⁺ 343.5,
 724 observed 343.2 (100 %), 345.2 ([C₁₄H₉Cl₃N₂O₂ + 2H]⁺ (92.9 %). Combustion analysis for
 725 C₁₄H₉Cl₃N₂O₂: Calculated. C 48.94, H 2.64, N 8.15; found: C 48.79, H 2.80, N 8.00. IR (cm⁻¹):
 726 3359m ν(NH+OH), 3102m ν(CH)_{ar.}, 2951m ν(CH)_{al.}, 2858m,br, 1665m ν(C=N), 1614m
 727 ν(C=C), 1579m, 1488m, 1442s, 1405m, 1315m, 1249s ν(C–O), 1095m, 1051m, 974m, 836m,
 728 807m δ(CH)_{ar.}, 730m ν(C–Cl), 725m ν(C–Cl), 621m, 552m, 433m, cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz,
 729 DMSO-d₆, δ): 13.40 (*s*, 1H, NH), 12.62 (*s*, 1H, OH), 7.98 (*brs*, 1H, H4), 7.89 (*brs*, 1H, H7),
 730 7.73 (*d*, 1H, J = 2.4, H3'), 7.18 (*d*, 1H, J = 2.0, H5'), 3.87 (*s*, 3H, OCH₃). Fluorescence
 731 spectroscopy (λ_{max}/nm): 393w, 482s.


732 4-Bromo-2-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol (**HL₁₉**): Yield: 75 %.
 733 Skin color solid. Dec. p.: 229 °C. (+)ESI-MS (*m/z*): calculated for [C₁₄H₉BrCl₂N₂O₂ + H]⁺
 734 389.0, observed 389.1 (100 %), 387.1 ([C₁₄H₉BrCl₂N₂O₂ – H]⁺ (61.2 %). Combustion analysis
 735 for C₁₄H₉BrCl₂N₂O₂: Calculated. C 43.33, H 2.34, N 7.22; found: C 43.51, H 2.23, N 7.03. IR
 736 (cm⁻¹): 3356m ν(NH), 3279m ν(OH), 3083m ν(CH)_{ar.}, 2852w,br, 1675m ν(C=O), 1621m
 737 ν(C=N), 1602m ν(C=C), 1440m, 1372m, 1254s ν(C–O), 1238m ν(C–O), 1093m, 1058m, 972m,
 738 838m, 806m δ(CH)_{ar.}, 710m ν(C–Cl), 640m, 551m ν(C–Br), 487m, 428m, cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (500
 739 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ): 13.42 (*s*, 1H, NH), 12.66 (*s*, 1H, OH), 8.04 (*s*, 1H, H4), 7.87 (*s*, 1H, H7),
 740 7.86 (*d*, 1H, J = 2.2, H5'), 7.25 (*d*, 1H, J=2.2, H3'), 3.87 (*s*, 3H, OCH₃). Fluorescence spectra
 741 (λ_{max} / nm): 393w, 486s.

742 2,4-Dibromo-6-(5,6-dichloro-1H-benzimidazol-2-yl)-3-methoxyphenol (**HL₂₀**): Yield: 88
 743 %. Yellowish orange solid. Dec. p.: 234 °C. (+)ESI-MS (*m/z*): calculated for
 744 [C₁₄H₈Br₂Cl₂N₂O₂]⁺ 467.0, observed 467.1 (100 %), 469.1 ([C₁₄H₈Br₂Cl₂N₂O₂ + 2H]⁺ (83.2
 745 %). Combustion analysis for C₁₄H₈Br₂Cl₂N₂O₂: Calculated. C 36.01, H 1.73, N 6.00; found: C
 746 36.35, H 1.93, N 5.87. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3367w ν(OH), 3126m ν(NH), 3088m ν(CH)_{ar.}, 2938m
 747 ν(CH)_{al.}, 1665m ν(C=O), 1632m ν(C=N), 1600m, ν(C=C), 1564m, 1429m, 1372s, 1260m
 748 ν(C–O), 1230m ν(C–O), 1096m, 1061m, 970m, 863m δ(CH)_{ar.}, 774m, 753m ν(C–Cl), 664m,
 749 627m, 551m ν(C–Br), 460m, 412m, cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ): 13.76 (*brs*, 2H,

750 NH+OH), 8.38 (s, 1H, H3'), 7.97 (s, 1H, H4), 7.95 (s, 1H, H7), 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH₃).
 751 Fluorescence spectra (λ_{max} / nm): 393w,br, 467m,br.

752 TABLE SI. Selected bond distances and angles for **HL**₁₈ (Å, °)

C7—N1	1.367(3)	C7—N2	1.323(3)
C2—Cl1	1.739(3)	C3—Cl2	1.740(3)
C1—C2	1.377(4)	C2—C3	1.401(4)
C7—C8	1.461(3)	C9—O1	1.353(3)
C10—O2	1.368(3)	C14—O2	1.428(3)
C12—Cl3	1.740(3)	C9—C10	1.402(4)
C10—C11	1.376(4)	C11—C12	1.391(4)
C9—O1—H1A	109.5	N2—C7—N1	111.8(2)
N1—C5—C6	105.4(2)	C1—C2—Cl1	119.3(2)
C3—C2—Cl1	119.6(2)	C2—C3—Cl2	119.9(2)
C4—C3—Cl2	118.2(2)	N1—C5—C6	105.4(2)
N2—C7—N1	111.8(2)	C10—O2—C14	116.1(2)
C11—C12—Cl3	117.8(2)	C13—C12—Cl3	120.6(2)



753
 754 Fig. S1. Part of the crystal structure of **HL**₁₈, showing the formation of C(6) chain.
 755