1	Suppor	ting Informa	tion
2			
3			
4	DFT/TDDFT study	on spectrosco	pic properties of
5	zinc(II), nickel(II), and p	alladium(II) n	netal complexes with
6	thio	urea derivative	2
7	XIN WANG, JIEQION	IG LI, LI WANG [*] ,	WENPENG WU [*]
8	Institute of Environmental and Anal	ytical Sciences, Colle	ge of Chemistry and Chemical
9	Engineering, Henan Univ	ersity, Kaifeng 47500	4, Henan, P.R. China
10			
11			
12			
13	Table SI Mean unsigned	error (MUE) for three (lifferent functionals
13			interent functionals.
	Fucntionals	MUE ^a	MUE ^b
	B3LYP	0.0389	3.43
	B3PW91	0.0269	3.36
	M06	0.0286	2.71
15	^a based on bondlengths		
16	^b based on bond angles and	dihedral angles	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

^{*}Corresponding authors.

E-mail: <u>chemwangl@henu.edu.cn</u>(LI WANG), <u>wenpengwu@126.com</u>(WENPENG WU).

.9	Table SII Molecular orbita	ll compositions in the ground sta	te for $Zn(C_{10}H_{12}N_3OS)_2$ (1) at M06/6-31+G(d)-LANL2	2DZ level in dimethylformamide.
----	----------------------------	-----------------------------------	---	---------------------------------

МО	Energy						Assignment						
MO	(eV)	Py(A)	Py(B)	Mor(A)	Mor(B)	S (1)	S(2)	C(1)	C(3)	N(2)	N(4)	Zn	Assignment
L+2	-0.93	37	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\pi^*[Py(A)+Py(B)]$
L+1	-1.23	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\pi^*[Py(A)+Py(B)]$
L	-1.39	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\pi^*[Py(A)+Py(B)]$
Н	-6.37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	13	0	p[N(2)+N(4)]
H-1	-6.37	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	13	14	0	p[S(2)+N(2)+N(4)]
H-2	-6.78	0	0	0	0	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	p[S(1)+S(2)]
H-3	-6.80	0	0	0	0	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	p[S(1)+S(2)]

36	ble SIII Molecular orbital compositions in the ground state for $Ni(C_{10}H_{12}N_3OS)_2$ (2) at M06/6-31+G(d)-LANL2DZ level in dimethylformamide.	
----	---	--

МО	Energy				Assistment								
MO	(eV)	Py(A)	Py(B)	Mor(A)	Mor(B)	S (1)	S(2)	C(1)	C(2)	N(2)	N(4)	Ni	Assignment
L+2	-1.28	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\pi^*[Py(A)+Py(B)]$
L+1	-1.33	22	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\pi^*[Py(A)+Py(B)]$
L	-2.10	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	0	46	p[S(1)+S(2)]+d(Ni)
Н	-6.23	0	0	0	0	11	11	0	0	11	11	13	p[S(1)+S(2)+N(2)+N(4)]+d(Ni)
H-1	-6.31	0	0	0	0	17	17	0	0	11	11	0	p[S(1)+S(2)+N(2)+N(4)]
H-2	-6.53	0	0	13	13	13	13	0	0	0	0	12	p[S(1)+S(2)+Mor(A)+Mor(B)]+d(Ni)
H-3	-6.64	0	0	0	0	23	23	0	0	0	0	12	p[S(1)+S(2)]+d(Ni)

МО	Energy	Contribution											Assignment
	(eV)	Py(A)	Py(B)	Mor(A)	Mor(B)	S (1)	S(2)	C(1)	C(2)	N(2)	N(4)	Pd	Assignment
L+2	-1.25	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\pi^*[Py(A)+Py(B)]$
L+1	-1.31	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\pi^*[Py(A)+Py(B)]$
L	-2.31	0	0	0	0	17	17	0	0	0	0	39	p[S(1)+S(2)]+d(Pd)
Н	-6.15	0	0	0	0	17	17	0	0	0	0	17	p[S(1)+S(2)]+d(Pd)
H-1	-6.34	0	0	0	0	14	14	0	0	12	12	0	p[S(1)+S(2)+N(2)+N(4)]
H-2	-6.56	0	0	12	12	17	17	0	0	0	0	14	p[S(1)+S(2)+Mor(A)+Mor(B)]+d(Pd)
H-3	-6.64	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	p[S(1)+S(2)]



Fig. S1 The optimized ground-state geometrical structures for complexes $Zn(C_{10}H_{12}N_3OS)_2$ (1), 51 $Ni(C_{10}H_{12}N_3OS)_2$ (2), and $Pd(C_{10}H_{12}N_3OS)_2$ (3). The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.