

1 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL TO  
2 **Contribution to the knowledge of the chemical composition, biological activities and**  
3 **activity concentration of  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  of the lichen *Evernia prunastri***  
4 GORDANA STOJANOVIC<sup>1\*</sup>, IVANA ZLATANOVIC<sup>1</sup>, NATAŠA LAZAREVIĆ<sup>2</sup>,  
5 VIOLETA MITIĆ<sup>1</sup>, ALEKSANDRA ĐORĐEVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, MIROSLAVA STANKOVIĆ<sup>2</sup> and  
6 BOJAN ZLATKOVIĆ<sup>3</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, University of Niš,*  
8 *Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia*

9 <sup>2</sup>*Nuclear Facilities of Serbia, Mike Petrovića Alasa 12-14, 11351 Vinča, Serbia*

10 <sup>3</sup>*Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of*  
11 *Niš, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia*

12  
13  
14 *Lichen material*

15 Lichen *E. prunastri*, was collected in October 2015 at Vlasina visoravan, (1213 m above sea  
16 level, coordinates N 42°41' and E 22°20' Serbia) from *Prunus domestica* bark. The voucher  
17 specimen has been deposited in the Herbarium collection at the Department of Biology and  
18 Ecology, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, University of Niš under the acquisition number  
19 10892. The lichen material was air-dried without exposure to direct sunlight for 10 days and  
20 stored at ambient temperature ( $25^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) prior further treatment. Taxonomical identifications  
21 was performed by dr Bojan Zlatković Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science  
22 and Mathematics, University of Niš.

23  
24 *Preparation of lichen extracts*

25 For HPLC-UV, GC-MS and GC-FID analysis extracts were prepared according to procedure  
26 described by Stojanović et al. (2017).<sup>1</sup> In order to obtain dry acetone extract prior to further  
27 testing of biological activities finely ground dry lichen thalli (10 g) was extracted as  
28 previously described.<sup>2</sup> The extract yield was  $6.59 \pm 0.5$  % (w/w).

29  
30 *HPLC-UV analysis*

31 HPLC-UV analysis was performed according the experimental procedure used in a previous  
32 research by Stojanović et al. (2017).<sup>1</sup> Identification was conducted using UV spectra and  
33 retention time of isolated components.

35 *GC-MS analysis*

36 The volatile components of the acetone, ether, ethyl acetate and dichloromethane extracts of  
37 *E. prunastri* were investigated by GC-MS (in triplicate), which were carried out using a  
38 7890/7000B GC-MS/MS triple quadrupole system (Agilent Technologies, USA, equipped  
39 with a Combi PAL auto sampler) following the experimental conditions described by  
40 Stojanović et al. (2017).<sup>1</sup> The percentage composition was computed from the GC-FID peak  
41 areas.

42 Constituents were identified by comparison of their linear retention indices (relative to C8 –  
43 C40 alkanes on the HP-5MS column) with literature values and their MS with those from  
44 Wiley 6, NIST02 and Mass Finder 2.3, by the application of the AMDIS software (the  
45 Automated Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification System, Ver. 2.1, DTRA/NIST,  
46 2011).

47

48 *Cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay (CBMN)*

49 The human *in vitro* micronucleus (MN) test is one of the widely used genotoxicity test for  
50 monitoring of chromosome damage in human populations. Cytokinesis–block micronucleus  
51 assay was performed as previously described.<sup>3,4</sup>

52

53 *Total phenolic content and antioxidant activity*

54 Total phenolic content (TPC) and four antioxidant assays: DPPH and ABTS scavenging  
55 radical capacity, CUPRAC (cupric reducing antioxidant capacity) and TRP (total reducing  
56 power) were performed as previously described.<sup>5,6</sup> The amount of total phenolic content  
57 (TPC) was expressed as mg galic acid equivalents per g of dry extract weight (mg GAE g<sup>-1</sup>  
58 dw). The result of the total reducing power assay (TRP) was expressed as mg ascorbic acid  
59 equivalents per g of dry extract weight (mg AAE g<sup>-1</sup> dw) while the result obtained by  
60 CUPRAC method was expressed as mg Trolox equivalents per g of dry extract weight (mg  
61 TE g<sup>-1</sup> dw) The abilities of the extract to inhibit DPPH and ABTS radical-cations were  
62 expressed as percentage (%).

63

64 *Cholinesterase activity*

65 Assessment of extract effect on cholinesterase activity was performed as previously  
66 described.<sup>1,7</sup>

67

68 *Antibacterial activity*

69 Antibacterial activity against two *Gram-positive* (*Bacillus spizizenii* ATCC 6633 and  
70 *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538) and three *Gram-negative* bacteria (*Escherichia coli*  
71 ATCC 8739, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 9027 and *Salmonella abony* NCTC 6017) was  
72 examined according to the NCCLS.<sup>8</sup> Experimental procedure was as previously described.<sup>1</sup>

73

74 Activity concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th

#### 75 *Sample preparation and counting*

76 The homogenized lichens samples were dried in the oven at the temperature of 105°C until  
77 constant weight, placed in the plastic Marinelli beakers, sealed and left for 4 weeks to reach  
78 radioactive equilibrium.<sup>9</sup> Each prepared sample was put into the HPGe detector and measured  
79 for 86000 s. Gamma background was determined prior to the sample measurement by  
80 measuring an empty Marinelli baker under identical measurement conditions. The counting  
81 time for background measurement was 240000s. It has been later subtracted from the  
82 measured gamma spectra of each sample.

83

#### 84 *Activity concentration determination*

85 Activity measurement of the samples was done using a high-resolution coaxial semiconductor  
86 detector with high-purity germanium crystal *HPGe ORTEC GEM 50*, with 50% relative  
87 efficiency at 1332 keV. The detector was shielded by lead in order to achieve a background  
88 level as low as possible. Calibration of the energy and efficiency calibration was done before  
89 the measurement. The calibration source used was a commercially available gamma standard,  
90 with mixed radionuclides-type MBSS 2 in Marinelli geometry of 0.5 l, developed by the  
91 *Inspectorate for Ionizing Radiation Czech Metrological Institute*, with the isotopes <sup>241</sup>Am,  
92 <sup>109</sup>Cd, <sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>139</sup>Ce, <sup>113</sup>Sn, <sup>85</sup>Sr, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>88</sup>Y, <sup>203</sup>Hg, and <sup>60</sup>Co. The energy of gamma lines of these  
93 radionuclides is very suitable for the calibration and covers the region of interest, i.e. from 30  
94 to 3000 keV. The quality assurance of measurements was carried out by daily efficiency and  
95 energy calibration, repeating each sample measurement. Correction of the radioactive decay,  
96 and the background, as well as the analysis of results, were obtained using dedicated software  
97 program *ORTEC Gamma Vision-32 Model A66-B32 Version 6.01*.

98 The activities of <sup>226</sup>Ra were determined by its decay products: <sup>214</sup>Pb (295.22 keV, 351.93  
99 keV) and <sup>214</sup>Bi (609.31 keV, 1120.29 keV). In the case of <sup>232</sup>Th two photopeaks of <sup>228</sup>Ac  
100 (911.20 and 698.97keV) were used. The activities of <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs were derived from the  
101 1460.83 keV and 661.66 keV gamma lines, respectively.

102

103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122

## REFERENCES

1. G. Stojanović, I. Zlatanović, I. Zrnzević, M. Stanković, V. Stankov Jovanović, B. Zlatković, *Nat. Prod. res.* (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2017.1375926>
2. I. Zrnzević, M. Stanković, V. Stankov Jovanović, V. Mitić, A. Đorđević, I. Zlatanović, G. Stojanović, *EXCLI J.* **16** (2017) 679 (<https://dx.doi.org/10.17179%2Fexcli2017-301> )
3. G. Stojanović, M. Stankovic, I. Stojanović, I. Palic, V. Milovanovic, S. Rancic, *Nat. prod commun.* **9** (2014), 503 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24868868>
4. M. Fench, *Mutat. Res.* **285** (1993) 35 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107\(93\)90049-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107(93)90049-L) )
5. R. Re, N. Pellegrini, A. Proreggente, A. Pannala, M.N. Yang, *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **26**,( 1996) 1231([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849\(98\)00315-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(98)00315-3) )
6. M. Dimitrijević, V. Stankov Jovanović, J. Cvetković, T. Mihajilov-Krstev, G. Stojanović, V. Mitić, *Anal. Methods* **7** (2015) 4181(DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x)
7. V. Stankov Jovanović, M. Ilić, V. Mitić, T. Mihajilov-Krstev, S. Simonović, S. Nikolić Mandić, J.C. Tabet, R.B. Cole, *J. Pharmaceut. Biomed.* **111** (2015) 78 (<https://doi.org/10.1515/chem-2015-0002> )
8. NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Test. 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Approved Standard, (1997) M2-A6 (<http://demo.nextlab.ir/getattachment/27407437-3d73-4048-8239-81857d68cf3d/CLSI-M2-A9.aspx> )
9. HASL-300, EML Procedures Manual, (1983) New York (<https://www.orau.org/PTP/PTP%20Library/library/DOE/eml/hasl300/Introduction.PDF> )