



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL TO
**Efficiency of the interfacial charge transfer complex between
TiO₂ nanoparticles and caffeic acid against DNA damage *in
vitro*: A combinatorial analysis**

VESNA LAZIĆ¹, IVANA VUKOJE¹, BOJANA MILIĆEVIĆ¹, BILJANA
SPREMO-POTPAREVIĆ², LADA ŽIVKOVIĆ², DIJANA TOPALOVIĆ²,
VLADAN BAJIĆ¹, DUŠAN SREDOJEVIĆ¹ and JOVAN M. NEDELJKOVIĆ^{1*}

¹*Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, P.O. Box 522, Serbia and*

²*Department of Physiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Belgrade, Serbia*

J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 84 (6) (2019) 539–553

NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

The optical properties of the ICT complex between TiO₂ NPs and CA were obtained by quantum chemical calculations based on the density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DF theory (TD-DFT). The [Ti₁₈O₃₃(OH)₆] cluster, derived from bulk anatase TiO₂, was used as a model system for the calculations. The ground state geometry of CA/[Ti₁₈O₃₃(OH)₆] complex was optimized using the B3LYP hybrid functional,^{1,2} in combination with the 6-31G(d,p) basis set.³ Frequency calculations were realized at the same level of theory, and the absence of imaginary frequencies confirmed that all the optimized structures are true minima. To preserve the crystal structure of anatase, the positions of the titanium and oxygen atoms of the cluster were frozen (kept fixed) during the optimization, while all atoms of the ligand molecules were allowed to relax. In addition, the electronic excitation spectra were calculated at the same level of theory, within the TD-DFT formalism by taking into account the first 30 excitations. The solvent effect of water on the absorption spectra was included *via* the SMD solvation model.⁴ All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 suite of programs.⁵ The convoluted electronic excitation spectra were obtained using GaussSum software.⁶

REFERENCES

1. C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **37** (1988) 785
2. A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev., A* **38** (1988) 3098
(<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098>)

*Corresponding author. E-mail: jovned@vin.bg.ac.rs

3. R. Ditchfield, W. J. Hehre, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.* **54** (1971) 724
(<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1674902>)
4. A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem., B* **113** (2009) 6378
(<https://doi.org/10.1021/jp810292n>)
5. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision B.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009
6. N. M. O'Boyle, A. L. Tenderholt, K. M. Langner, *J. Comput. Chem.* **29** (2008) 839
(<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20823>).