



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

This is an early electronic version of an as-received manuscript that has been accepted for publication in the Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society but has not yet been subjected to the editing process and publishing procedure applied by the JSCS Editorial Office.

Please cite this article as: A. Borota, S. Avram, R. Curpan, A. Bora, D. Varga, L. Halip, L. Crisan, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* (2019)
<https://doi.org/10.2298/JSC190403085B>

This “raw” version of the manuscript is being provided to the authors and readers for their technical service. It must be stressed that the manuscript still has to be subjected to copyediting, typesetting, English grammar and syntax corrections, professional editing and authors’ review of the galley proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during these publishing processes, many errors may emerge which could affect the final content of the manuscript and all legal disclaimers applied according to the policies of the Journal.

using Instant JChem software [Instant JChem v. 17.17.0, <https://chemaxon.com/>] and 689 active compounds were retained. Subsequently, drug-like filtering criteria, e.g., HBA (hydrogen bond acceptors) = 3–4, HBD (hydrogen bond donors) = 0–3, MW (molecular weight) = 371–496, RBN (number of rotatable bonds) = 3–6, XLogP = –0.4–3.7, 2dPSA (2d polar surface area) = 60–90 were applied, resulting 179 compounds which have been designated as actives in the evaluation procedure^{9–11}. In order to select the decoys, a free on-line automated tool from the Directory of Useful Decoys, enhanced (DUD-E), (<http://decoys.docking.org>), was used¹². The decoys set was compiled based on the similarities with the actives used for pharmacophore generation (Table I), employed as queries. Thus, 650 decoys were selected for each query, with the exception of compounds **2** and **22**, (for which 100 decoys were identified for each of them). The expected decoys have similar physicochemical properties (MW, HBA, HBD, logP and RBN) with queries but dissimilar 2-D topology. Therefore, 179 compounds designated as actives and 1350 decoys entitled as inactive were used for virtual screening (VS) experiments in order to find matches over the obtained pharmacophore hypotheses. The fitness scores were used for the ranking of the compounds over the best pharmacophore hypothesis.

REFERENCES

1. Schrödinger Release 2016-1: Ligandexp v5.0 (2016) Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY
2. K.S.Watts, P. Dalal, R. Murphy, W. Sherman, R.A. Friesner, J.C. Shelley, *J.Chem. Inf. Model.* **50** (2010) 534 (<http://doi.org/10.1021/ci100015j>)
3. A. Golbraikh, A. Tropsha, *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **20** (2002) 269 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-3263\(01\)00123-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-3263(01)00123-1))
4. A. Golbraikh, A. Tropsha, *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **16** (2002) 357 (<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020069118689>)
5. A. Golbraikh, M. Shen, Y. Xiao, Y.-D. Xiao, K.-H. Lee, A. Tropsha, *J. Comput. Aid. Mol. Des.* **7** (2003) 241 (<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025386326946>)
6. P. Willmet, *Drug Discov. Today.* **11** (2006) 1046 [10.1016/j.drudis.2006.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2006.10.005)
7. S. B. Jensen, T. M. Hall, B. Wiswedel, L. F. de Figueiredo, M. Berthold, C. Steinbeck, *BMC Bioinformatics* **14** (2013) 257 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-257>
8. FRATER (2009) version 2.0.2 OpenEye Scientific Software, Inc. Santa Fe, NM, USA. www.eyesopen.com
9. A. P. Bento, A. Gaulton, A. Hersey, L. J. Bellis, J. Chambers, M. Davies, et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42** (2014) 1083 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1031>)
10. D. J. Veber, S. R. Johnson, H. Y. Cheng, B. R. Smith, K. W. Ward, K. D. Kipple, *J. Med. Chem.* **45** (2002) 2615 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm020017n>)
11. Y. C. Martin, *J. Med. Chem.* **48** (2005) 3164 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm0492002>)
12. M. M. Mysinger, M. Carchia, J. J. Irwin, B. K. Shoichet, *J. Med. Chem.* **55** (2012) 6582 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm300687e>)