*Dear Editor,*

The manuscript was revised in accordance with reviewer(s)' recommendation.

The changes are marked yellow in the corrected manuscript.

Below is the answers to reviewers comments:

Reviewer H:

**Comments:**

Row 94    “Identification was conducted using retention time and UV
spectra.” It is necessary to explain how the authors identified the
metabolites in the extracts. Did they use standard substances for it?
Row 106 Instead of “Antioxidant activity” it should write “Total
phenolic content (TPC) and antioxidant activity”.
In the part RESULTS AND DISCUSSION insert Figure 1. HPLC chromatogram of the
standards and constituents of Umbilicaria crustulosa acetone extract
recorded at 254 nm. In TABLE I add values of the retention times of the identified compounds. 181 Instead of “Antioxidant activity and the total phenolic content,” it
should write “The total phenolic content and antioxidant activity”
Correction of the English is required. For example.. 209 instead of “U.
crustulosa extract have manifested weak activation effect.. should write
“U. crustulosa extract has manifested weak activation effect…”

**Answers:**

The substances were isolated by preparative HPLC and the structures were determined by means of NMR spectra. The manuscript entitled Isolation and identification of secondary metabolites of *Umbilicaria crustulosa* (Ach.) Frey is accepted for publication in the Facta Universitatis (Series: Physics, Chemistry and tehnology) under the number 965-6808-1-RV.

Row 106: “Antioxidant activity” was changed to “Total phenolic content (TPC) and antioxidant activity”

In the part RESULTS AND DISCUSSION HPLC chromatogram with UV spectra of components was inserted.

In TABLE I values of the retention times of the identified compounds were added.

Row 181 “Antioxidant activity and the total phenolic content,” was changed to “The total phenolic content and antioxidant activity”
The English was corrected.

Reviewer I:

**Comments:**

   Based on its scientific merit I can most kindly recommend this manuscript
for publishing in a forthcoming issue of the Journal of the Serbian Chemical
Society.
Well done, indeed. Please, kindly correct a bit the English language used,
if possible. Best of research luck for the time to come!

In my opinion, this manuscript should:
    be published after language correction by the author(s)

**Answers:**

The English was corrected.

Reviewer J:

**Comments:**

  Minor corrections of technicals errors. For instance, on page 3, line 82,
in following sentence: "Extracts ... was first subjected to
ultrasound-assisted extraction with acetone (50 mL; 30 min in ultrasound
bath – UZK 8; Maget, Bela Palanka, Serbia); after that..." the meaning of
"Maget, Bela Palanka, Serbia" is not clear.

**Answers:**

UZK 8 means type of ultrasound bath and Maget, Bela Palanka is the bath manufacturer (entered as a correction in the manuscript).

Sincerely,

*Ivana Zlatanović*

*on behalf of all authors*