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Reviewers' comments:

Comment: I suggest the following revisions:

Response:

We are very grateful to the reviewer for the comments that helped in improving the revised version of this manuscript.

C1: Line 93: Figure 1 is not well marked. What is Cs? Where is the value of C\*, where CL? How was determinated?.

R1: We do thank the reviewer for his comment that raised the quality of the paper. The information regarding the marks has been described in the figure caption.

C2: Line 134: Why is the temperature 40°C?

R2: As rightfully stated by the reviewer, the temperature should be 60 oC. The value has been changed in the revised manuscript.

C3: Line 161-163: The peaks on the diagram are not well described.

R3: The peaks have been assigned in the revised manuscript.

C4: Line 172: (kLa) is the volumetric mass transfer coefficient, not just the mass transfer coefficient. This should be corrected in the manuscript.

R4: The term of mass transfer coefficient has been corrected in the revised manuscript. We do thank the reviewer for this comment.

C5: Line 187: How was determined the value of (kLa)? Correct label on the ordinate.

R5: The label was corrected as suggested by the reviewer. Determination of kLa was explained and added in the revised manuscript.

C6: Line 201: Sh and Re number used in the text are actually Sh mixing and Re mixing numbers. This should be corrected in the manuscript.

R6: The term of Sh and Re number have been corrected in the revised manuscript as suggested by the reviewer.

C7: Line 220: Add the legend on a diagram and explain each symbol.

R7: The symbols have been explained in the figure caption as suggested by the reviewer.

C8: Line 233: Amend the nomenclature with all labels, used in the paper.

R8: The labels have been corrected in the revised manuscript as suggested by the reviewer.

C9: Lines 234, 235, 236, 239, 242: Correct labels in the nomenclature.

R9: The labels have been corrected in the revised manuscript as suggested by the reviewer.

C10: The level of English is unacceptable an requires a complete revision.

R10: The English has been revised as suggested by the reviewer.