Reviewer A:

Dear reviewer A thank you for your kind comments. Page numbers for suggested corrections were indicated. Each comment as yellow note were included in this paper. All changes made are highlighted through revisions. Also the sentence: *„As evident from the data obtained experimentally, polarisation of all prepared screen‑printed electrodes is slower than for conventional paste ones,“* was explained in details (line 385). Corresponding citation was shown assigned (line 130). It was our interest to see if electrodes containing PVC can find an application in voltammetric measurements. Figures 3-7 were properly redesigned.

Reviewer B:

Dear reviewer B thank you for your kind comments. Page numbers for suggested corrections were indicated and each change is shown as revision. This study can be understood as an ongoing development of CPE in planar arrangement. In this case, the main advantage of CPE is a presence of lipophilic binder. Therefore, any solid electrodes cannot provide better sensitivity in determination of lipophilic analytes, because an extraction way of accumulation is much more efficient (lines 482-484). Fig 5A was shown. It was just the overlap due to formatting of text.