The authors thank the referees for the comprehensive review. The suggestions have been accepted and were taken into consideration. The needed corrections have been made and a corrected version of the manuscript has been submitted.

- In the „Introduction“, it is necessary to underline that the synthesis of MAG, DAG and TAG must be done in catalytic processes. The best point to add a sentence telling this item is after line 44.

**Answer:** The correction was made.

- In addition the authors should explain (in the last paragraph of

„Introduction“) what are the reasons to investigate new catalysts, since previously they offered the examples of very successful synthesis processes.

**Answer:** The explanation was added.

- Moreover, a short presentation of SBA family of materials is also necessary in the „Introduction“.

**Answer:** The short description was added.

- Page 4, lines 104-105 – this sentence should be transferred in R&D.

**Answer:** The correction was made.

- Table 1 – last column on the right – the numbers are obtained from TPD? Please note.

**Answer:** The explanation was added.

- Page 6, lines 165-167, the sentence “The determined by FTIR amount of silanols is 6.2 mmol/g in SBA-15 and 3.6 mmol/g in SBA-16 silicas. ” is not comprehensible and is not related to the previous text. Moreover FTIR is even not mentioned in previous parts of this manuscript, neither among characterization methods nor in other parts of this text.!

**Answer:** The FTIR results were added.

- Figure 6 - it seems that one coordinate is not labeled.

**Answer:** The label was added. We apologize for the mistake.

In my opinion the manuscript deserves to be published in the in Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society. The authors give a comparative study of the catalytic performance of two sulfonic acid-functionalized mesoporous silicas with different pore structures. However, their text requires revisions taking into account the following facts:

1. A brief description of the structural features of SBA-15 and SBA-16 should be given in Introduction.

**Answer:** A brief description of mesoporous SBA-15 and SBA-16 silicas is presented.

2. Ziarani et al. reported many advantages of use of sulfonic acid-functionalized SBA-15 (SBA-Pr-SO3H) as solid acid catalyst in organic reactions (J. Mol Catal A 397 (2015) 166–191). It is necessary that the authors consider the results from this review in discussion of the results from their study.

**Answer:** The paper is added in the reference list and a brief discussion is added in the catalytic part as well.

3. The results of FTIR analysis are given at lines 166-167 however the analysis is not mentioned in Experimental.

**Answer:** The description of the analysis is added in the experimental section.

4. “Catalysts characterization” is more appropriate subtitle instead of the subtitles given in lines 121 and 129.

**Answer:** We corrected the subtitle.

5. Since the authors discuss XRD data in lines 130-133 the XRD patterns should be given.

**Answer:** We added the XRD patterns.

6. Beside the isotherms given in Fig.1, the authors should also present pore size distribution.

**Answer:** The pore size distribution is added.

7. Table 1 should be rewritten. The content of sulfonic acid groups are presented in two columns that should be merged.

**Answer:** It was corrected.

8. Fig. 2 should be correctly presented. What is at y-axis? Weight loss (%) can not be negative! TG curve of spent SO3H/SBA-16 is missing. There is a difference in weight loss at 873 K of spent and parent SO3H/SBA-15 indicating a small leaching of sulfonic groups. This should be clearly presented in Fig.2 and discussion part.

**Answer:** TG curve of the spent SO3H/SBA-16 was added and the figure and the text were corrected.

In my opinion, this manuscript should be published after minor revision without additional review