Dear Prof. Branislav Z Nikolic

Editor in Chief Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society

Thanks very much for your e-mail at 24-April-2019 about of our Manuscript entitled “Modified screen-printed electrodes for electrochemical detection of amlodipine”. I revised the manuscript on the basis of reviewer's comments. All changes and corrections were marked with red pen in the revised manuscript. I hope the revised paper may be suitable for publication in Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society. Kindly do the needful at an early date. Hope to hear from you soon.

With Best Regards

Dr. Sayaed Zia Mohammadi

**Response to Reviewer B**

I recommend this manuscript for publication since authors made corrections in accordance with reviewer’s comments. However, I also noticed some additional errors which, in my opinion, should be corrected.

REPORT:

    Errors which, in my opinion, should be corrected:

-    3 Environment Department, Institute of Science and High Technology and

Environmental

3 was added to Author affiliation as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 1 - in AML tablet and urine samples and the results indicated

Page 1: “indicate” was corrected to “indicated” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 3 – “electrochemical sensors/biosensors ”

Page 3: “electrochemical sensors/ biosensors” was corrected to “electrochemical sensors/biosensors” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 3 - signal-to- noise

Page 3: “signal-to noise” was corrected to “signal-to- noise” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 4 - in room temperature – I think that correct is not in but “at

room temperature”

Page 4: “in room temperature” was corrected to “at room temperature” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 5 – The authors added part regarding SEM, but in EXPERIMENTAL part

of article they did not include details about SEM apparatus.

Page 5: details about SEM apparatus was added to text in EXPERIMENTAL part of article as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 6 – “that the modification of unmodified SPCE” change to “that the modification of SPCE”

Page 6: “that the modification of unmodified SPCE” was corrected to “that the modification of SPCE” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 9 – “for the various concentrations of amlodipine (Fig. 5) and in PBS (pH 7.0)”

Page 9: “for the various concentrations of amlodipine (Fig. 5) and in PBS (pH 7.0)” was corrected as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 9 – “For electroactive materials” change to “For electroactive compounds”

Page 9: “For electroactive materials” was corrected to “For electroactive compounds” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 10 - The slopes of the resulting straight lines were

Page 10: “The slope of the resulting straight lines were” was corrected to “The slopes of the resulting straight lines were” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 11 - Table 1. Comparison of the efficiency of electrochemical methods

used in detection of AML. – efficiency is not appropriate, better to say

“Comparison of the performances of electrochemical methods…”

Page 11: Table 1. “Comparison of the efficiency of electrochemical methods used in detection of AML” was corrected to “Comparison of the performances of electrochemical methods used in detection of AML” as comment of reviewer B.

-    Page 14 – “significant difference between the result obtained by the MCSNP/SPCE and the nominal value on the tablet label (10.00 mg/tablet)” should be rewritten as “significant difference between the result obtained by the proposed voltammetric method with MCSNP/SPCE and declared value on the tablet label (10.00 mg/tablet)”

Page 14: “significant difference between the result obtained by the MCSNP/SPCE and the nominal value on the tablet label (10.00 mg/tablet)” was rewritten to “significant difference between the result obtained by the proposed voltammetric method with MCSNP/SPCE and declared value on the tablet label (10.00 mg/tablet)” as comment of reviewer B.